ARTICLE

Machine Learning-Based Strategies for Intelligent Reflecting Surface Configuration, Network Optimization, and Security Enhancement

Mahesh Karunaratne[1], **Sanduni Wickramasinghe**[2] **and Dilantha Gamage**[3]

[1] Sri Lanka Institute of Advanced Technological Education (SLIATE), Department of Information and Communication Technology, New Kandy Road, Malabe, Sri Lanka.
[2] Buddhist and Pali University of Sri Lanka, Department of Engineering and Information Technology, Pitipana North, Homagama, Sri Lanka.
[3] University College of Anuradhapura, Department of Software Engineering, Abhayapura Road, Anuradhapura, Sri Lanka.

Abstract

This research presents a comprehensive investigation of machine learning-based strategies designed to optimize intelligent reflecting surface (IRS) configurations, enhance network performance, and fortify security protocols in next-generation wireless communication systems. The proposed framework integrates advanced neural network models, robust optimization techniques, and adaptive signal processing methods to dynamically configure IRS elements and mitigate interference in complex propagation environments. Our approach leverages multi-dimensional channel state information and mathematical constructs including vector spaces, matrix decompositions, and probabilistic models to systematically derive optimal reflective parameters. Key contributions include the formulation of a novel optimization problem that encapsulates the interplay between IRS phase adjustments and network throughput, and the development of a gradient-based algorithm for rapid convergence. Detailed theoretical analyses supported by rigorous simulation results validate the proposed scheme, highlighting significant improvements in signal-to-noise ratio, spectral efficiency, and resilience against adversarial attacks. In addition, the study integrates cryptographic security measures with machine learning classifiers to detect and counteract potential vulnerabilities, thereby ensuring data integrity and confidentiality. The results underscore the potential of combining data-driven techniques with traditional signal processing to address the challenges of high-dimensional wireless channels and emerging security threats. Our work provides valuable insights into the design of adaptive, secure, and efficient wireless networks, paving the way for future developments in intelligent communication systems and related applications.

Submitted: 2025
Accepted: 2025
Published: 2025

Vol. 10, **No.** 3, 2025.

Copyright

2025. Transactions on Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Cognitive Systems, 10(3), 1–15.

1 Introduction

The evolution of wireless communication has consistently been marked by a pursuit of enhanced performance, efficiency, and security in increasingly complex and dynamic environments [1]. In recent years, intelligent reflecting surfaces (IRS) have emerged as a promising technology capable of manipulating electromagnetic waves to improve signal quality and mitigate interference. The integration of IRS with advanced machine learning techniques offers unprecedented opportunities to adaptively control wireless channels and optimize network operations. In this paper, we present a detailed study on machine learning-based strategies for IRS configuration, network optimization, and security enhancement. Our research builds upon foundational concepts in wireless communications, control theory, and statistical learning, and extends these ideas through rigorous mathematical modeling and extensive simulation analyses.

Intelligent Reflective Surfaces (IRSs) present a promising solution for dynamically reconfiguring propagation environments in real time [2]. According to the researcher in [3], IRSs overcome the challenges of traditional wireless communication systems, where data transmission occurs through an unpredictable propagation medium by enhancing communication rates and increasing the number of served users, . In conventional wireless communication systems, data travels from a transmitter to a receiver through what is known as a propagation environment. This term refers to the physical medium and path that wireless signals navigate, which is inherently unpredictable due to various environmental factors. Obstacles such as buildings, trees, and even moving objects like vehicles can cause signals to reflect, scatter, or weaken through processes like multipath fading and shadowing. These effects often degrade signal quality, making it difficult to achieve high data rates or maintain stable connections, particularly in complex settings like urban areas or indoor spaces. The unpredictable nature of this environment poses a significant challenge for traditional wireless systems, as they lack the ability to actively control how signals propagate as in [4]

Intelligent reflective surfaces (IRSs) offer an approach to overcoming these limitations by enabling real-time reconfigurable propagation environments. An IRS is essentially a flat panel embedded with numerous tiny, passive reflective elements, each of which can adjust the phase and amplitude of incoming electromagnetic waves. By carefully tuning these elements, an IRS can "intelligently" redirect signals to enhance their strength at the receiver or minimize interference. Unlike conventional systems that passively endure environmental effects, IRSs actively shape the signal path—for example, reflecting signals around obstacles to create virtual line-of-sight connections. This technology operates without amplifying the signal, relying instead on passive reflection, which makes it energy-efficient and cost-effective compared to active solutions like relays or additional base stations. [5]

The deployment of IRSs in wireless networks brings significant advantages, particularly in improving communication rates and expanding user capacity. By optimizing the propagation environment, an IRS can strengthen the desired signal at the receiver, boosting the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and enabling faster, more reliable data transmission. For instance, if a building blocks the direct path between a transmitter and receiver, an IRS can redirect the signal to bypass the obstruction, reducing errors and increasing throughput. Additionally, IRSs enhance network capacity by allowing dynamic signal direction. Through real-time adjustments, they can serve multiple users simultaneously by focusing signals toward different receivers, a process akin to spatial multiplexing [6]. This adaptability is especially valuable in crowded areas where demand for connectivity is high.

The versatility of IRSs makes them suitable for a wide range of applications. In dense urban environments, they could be mounted on building facades to improve coverage for both outdoor pedestrians and indoor users, overcoming signal blockages caused by concrete structures. Indoors, IRSs could enhance Wi-Fi or cellular signals by navigating around walls and furniture, ensuring seamless connectivity in homes or offices. In smart cities, integrating IRSs into infrastructure like streetlights or billboards could support the growing number of connected devices, from autonomous vehicles to IoT sensors [7]. Industrial settings also stand to benefit, as IRSs could provide robust communication links for machinery and automation systems in factories filled with metal obstacles. These scenarios highlight how IRSs can transform challenging environments into opportunities for better wireless performance.

Intelligent Reflective Surfaces (IRS) enable dynamic control of electromagnetic wave propagation through software-defined phase manipulation. An IRS comprises M sub-wavelength elements, each applying a complex reflection coefficient $\phi_m = \beta_m e^{j\theta_m}$, where $\beta_m \in [0,1]$ is the amplitude coefficient and $\theta_m \in [0, 2\pi)$ is the phase shift. For a base station (BS) with precoding matrix $\mathbf{W} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_t \times K}$ serving K users through an IRS, the composite channel for user k is:

$$\mathbf{h}_k^{\text{eff}} = \underbrace{\mathbf{d}_k^H}_{\text{direct link}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{g}_k^H \boldsymbol{\Phi} \mathbf{H}}_{\text{IRS-reflected link}} \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \text{diag}(\phi_1, ..., \phi_M)$, $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times N_t}$ is the BS-IRS channel, and $\mathbf{g}_k \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$ is the IRS-user channel. The received signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) becomes:

$$\gamma_k = \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^{\text{eff}}\mathbf{w}_k|^2}{\sum_{j\neq k}|\mathbf{h}_k^{\text{eff}}\mathbf{w}_j|^2 + \sigma^2} \tag{2}$$

Optimal IRS configuration requires joint optimization of phase shifts and BS precoding [8]. The non-convex optimization problem for spectral efficiency maximization can be formulated as:

$$\max_{\mathbf{\Phi},\mathbf{W}} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log_2(1+\gamma_k) \tag{3}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \|\mathbf{W}\|_F^2 \leq P_{\max} \tag{4}$$

$$|\phi_m| \leq 1, \ \forall m \tag{5}$$

Practical implementations use alternating optimization with semi-definite relaxation or deep reinforcement learning approaches. The IRS-induced channel rank improvement is quantified through the effective degrees of freedom (EDF):

$$\text{EDF} = \text{rank}\left(\mathbf{H}^H\mathbf{\Phi}^H\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}^H\mathbf{\Phi}\mathbf{H}\right) \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{G} = [\mathbf{g}_1, ..., \mathbf{g}_K]$. Field trials demonstrate IRS can boost coverage by 8-12 dB and triple user capacity in millimeter-wave bands [9]. Key challenges remain in real-time channel estimation and low-latency IRS reconfiguration to track mobile users.

At the heart of our approach lies the representation of wireless channels as multi-dimensional constructs, wherein the channel matrix $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times N}$ encapsulates complex interactions between transmitters, receivers, and IRS elements. By decomposing $\mathbf{H}$ into constituent components using singular value decomposition and eigenvalue analysis, we are able to identify critical subspaces that influence performance metrics such as signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and bit error rate (BER). The system model is further enriched by considering stochastic variations in channel conditions, modeled via random processes and probabilistic distributions. The optimization of IRS parameters is formulated as a high-dimensional constrained optimization problem, where the objective function, denoted by $J(\mathbf{\Theta})$, captures the trade-off between maximizing network throughput and minimizing interference. In particular, we define the problem as:

$$\max_{\mathbf{\Theta}\in\mathcal{S}} J(\mathbf{\Theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log\left(1 + \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{g}_k|^2}{\sigma^2 + I_k}\right),$$

where $\mathbf{h}_k$ and $\mathbf{g}_k$ represent the channel vectors associated with the k-th user, σ^2 is the noise variance, and I_k denotes interference. The set $\mathcal{S}$ comprises all feasible phase shift configurations. Traditional optimization techniques, while effective in lower-dimensional settings, often prove inadequate in the face of the non-convexity and scale of the IRS optimization problem. Consequently, our study leverages machine learning algorithms that can efficiently navigate the solution space by learning complex mappings from channel observations to optimal IRS configurations. [10]

Extensive studies have addressed the challenges of IRS deployment in various scenarios. The literature reveals that conventional optimization approaches, such as semidefinite relaxation (SDR) and branch-and-bound techniques, suffer from exponential complexity when applied to large-scale networks. Recent works have explored heuristic methods and metaheuristic algorithms, including genetic algorithms and particle swarm optimization, to circumvent these limitations. However, these methods often lack the adaptability required for rapidly changing environments. In contrast, machine learning-based approaches, particularly those leveraging deep reinforcement learning, have shown promise in learning optimal configurations from data in a model-free manner [11]. Our research builds on these advances by developing a hybrid framework that synergistically combines supervised learning with reinforcement learning. The supervised component provides a rapid initial estimate of the IRS configuration, which is then refined through an iterative learning process driven by environmental feedback. This dual approach allows for both quick adaptation and robust convergence, even in the presence of non-stationary channel conditions.

Furthermore, the interplay between network performance and security is a critical aspect that has garnered increasing attention in recent years. Traditional security mechanisms, such as encryption and authentication, operate at higher layers of the network stack and may not adequately address physical layer vulnerabilities [12]. The ability of IRS to manipulate the propagation environment introduces a novel avenue for enhancing physical layer security. By dynamically adjusting the phase shifts, the IRS can create favorable conditions for legitimate users while impeding the signal quality at potential eavesdropper locations. This capability is further enhanced when combined with machine learning algorithms that can detect anomalous behavior and adjust the IRS configuration accordingly. The integration of physical layer security with adaptive IRS control represents a paradigm shift in secure wireless communications and is a central focus of this paper.

Our study also considers the practical constraints of IRS hardware, including limited phase resolution and energy consumption [13]. These constraints are modeled as part of the optimization problem, ensuring that the proposed solutions are not only theoretically sound but also practically implementable. By incorporating these considerations into our framework, we aim to provide a holistic solution that addresses both performance and security challenges in modern wireless networks. In the following sections, we detail the mathematical foundations of our approach, the design of the learning algorithms, and the comprehensive performance evaluations conducted through extensive simulations.

Additional research in the field has emphasized the necessity of robust channel estimation methods, which are integral to the success of IRS configuration. Recent advances in compressive sensing and sparse recovery techniques have provided new avenues for efficient channel estimation, yet their integration with machine learning algorithms remains an open research question [14]. In our work, we assume that accurate channel state information (CSI) is available through advanced estimation techniques, thereby allowing us to focus on the optimization of the IRS configuration. Nonetheless, future extensions of this work will address the challenges associated with imperfect CSI.

Moreover, our framework is designed to be scalable. As network sizes increase and the number of IRS elements grows, the dimensionality of the optimization problem escalates dramatically. We incorporate dimensionality reduction techniques and efficient approximation algorithms to manage computational complexity, ensuring that our solution remains viable for large-scale deployments [15]. The synthesis of these diverse approaches – from advanced statistical models to state-of-the-art machine learning techniques – results in a comprehensive strategy for next-generation wireless network design.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 3 details the system model and problem formulation, Section 4 discusses the machine learning algorithms for IRS configuration, Section 5 presents the network optimization and performance analysis, Section 6 examines security enhancement and robustness evaluation, and Section 7 concludes the paper with a summary of key findings and future research directions.

2 System Model and Problem Formulation

The system under investigation comprises a multi-user wireless communication network augmented by an intelligent reflecting surface (IRS) strategically positioned to enhance signal propagation and mitigate interference. The IRS is modeled as an array of passive elements, each capable of inducing a controllable phase shift on incident electromagnetic waves [16]. The overall channel model is represented by a composite matrix $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times N}$, where M denotes the number of receiving antennas and N represents the combined number of transmitting antennas and IRS elements. In this model, the direct channel between the base station and the users, denoted by $\mathbf{H}_d$, coexists with the reflected channel $\mathbf{H}_r$, such that the effective channel is given by:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{H}_r\boldsymbol{\Theta},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \operatorname{diag}(e^{j\theta_1}, e^{j\theta_2}, \ldots, e^{j\theta_N})$ is a diagonal matrix representing the phase shifts induced by the IRS elements. The phase shifts $\{\theta_i\}_{i=1}^N$ are the primary control variables in the optimization process. The problem formulation is rooted in the maximization of the network's performance metrics, including throughput and reliability, under constraints imposed by hardware limitations and channel conditions.

In formulating the optimization problem, we consider both the amplitude and phase responses of the wireless channel. The direct channel $\mathbf{H}_d$ and the reflected channel $\mathbf{H}_r$ are modeled as random matrices whose entries are complex Gaussian random variables. Specifically, each element h_{ij} of $\mathbf{H}_d$ or $\mathbf{H}_r$ is drawn from a distribution

$$h_{ij} \sim \mathcal{CN}(\mu_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}^2),$$

where $\mathcal{CN}$ denotes the circularly symmetric complex Gaussian distribution. This probabilistic modeling allows for the derivation of average performance metrics through ensemble averaging over multiple channel realizations.

The optimization task is inherently non-convex due to the discrete and periodic nature of the phase shift variables. We express the optimization problem as: [17]

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}\in\mathcal{S}} f(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log\left(1 + \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^T\boldsymbol{\Theta}\mathbf{g}_k|^2}{\sigma^2 + \sum_{l\neq k}|\mathbf{h}_l^T\boldsymbol{\Theta}\mathbf{g}_l|^2}\right),$$

Table 1. Notation and Definitions

Symbol	Definition	Symbol	Definition
M	Number of receiving antennas	N	Number of IRS elements and Tx antennas
$\mathbf{H}$	Composite channel matrix	$\mathbf{H}_d$	Direct channel matrix
$\mathbf{H}_r$	Reflected channel matrix	$\boldsymbol{\Theta}$	IRS phase shift matrix
θ_i	Phase shift of i-th IRS element	$\mathcal{S}$	Feasible phase shift set
$f(\boldsymbol{\Theta})$	Optimization objective function	σ^2	Noise power
$\mathbf{h}_k$	Channel vector of user k	$\mathbf{g}_k$	IRS-related channel vector
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_I$	Interference covariance matrix	λ_i	Eigenvalues of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_I$
η	Learning rate for optimization	Q	Phase quantization level
ϵ	Energy constraint threshold	SINR_k	Signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio

where $\mathbf{h}_k$ and $\mathbf{g}_k$ are the channel vectors corresponding to the k-th user, and σ^2 represents the noise power. The set $\mathcal{S}$ encapsulates all feasible configurations of $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$, taking into account quantization effects and physical limitations of the IRS hardware.

To address the combinatorial complexity of the phase optimization problem, we introduce a relaxation strategy by representing the phase shifts as continuous variables within the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. This transformation allows the application of gradient-based optimization techniques. The objective function is further regularized by incorporating a penalty term that enforces the discrete nature of the phase shifts:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) = -f(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} \min_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \theta_i - \frac{2\pi k}{Q} \right|,$$

where λ is a regularization parameter and Q denotes the quantization level. The derivative of $L(\boldsymbol{\Theta})$ with respect to the continuous relaxation of θ_i is computed using standard techniques from calculus of variations and results in update equations of the form:

$$\theta_i^{(t+1)} = \theta_i^{(t)} - \eta \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_i} \bigg|_{\theta_i = \theta_i^{(t)}},$$

where η represents the learning rate. [18]

In order to capture the statistical nature of the channel, we model the entries of $\mathbf{H}_d$ and $\mathbf{H}_r$ as random variables drawn from complex Gaussian distributions, with means and variances determined by path loss, shadowing, and multipath effects. The performance of the network is thus evaluated in a probabilistic framework, where the expected value of the objective function, denoted by $\mathbb{E}[f(\boldsymbol{\Theta})]$, is estimated through Monte Carlo simulations over a large number of channel realizations. Additionally, the interference term is characterized by a covariance matrix $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_I$, whose spectral properties are analyzed using eigenvalue decomposition. The eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}$ of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_I$ provide insights into the spatial correlation of interference, which in turn influences the optimal configuration of $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$.

A key aspect of the problem formulation is the interplay between the continuous adaptation of IRS parameters and the discrete decision-making inherent in network resource allocation. The overall system performance is governed by a set of coupled equations that include the channel model, the IRS configuration, and the network's scheduling policy. These equations are expressed in vector form as:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n},$$

where $\mathbf{x}$ is the transmitted signal vector and $\mathbf{n}$ represents additive white Gaussian noise. The receiver's performance is quantified by metrics such as the signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR), which is computed as:

$$\text{SINR}_k = \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Theta} \mathbf{g}_k|^2}{\sigma^2 + \sum_{l \neq k} |\mathbf{h}_l^T \boldsymbol{\Theta} \mathbf{g}_l|^2}.$$

The optimization problem is thus a multi-objective one, balancing throughput maximization with interference suppression and power efficiency. [19]

To further elaborate on the system model, we adopt a probabilistic approach that incorporates both spatial and temporal dynamics. User mobility is modeled as a stochastic process, and the temporal evolution of the channel is captured by autoregressive models. This approach not only provides a realistic depiction of channel variations but also necessitates the development of adaptive algorithms that can continuously update the IRS configuration. Hardware limitations such as phase resolution and

Table 2. Optimization Problem Parameters

Parameter	Description	Parameter	Description
$L(\mathbf{\Theta})$	Loss function	λ	Regularization parameter
$\theta_i^{(t)}$	Phase shift at iteration t	$\theta_i^{(t+1)}$	Updated phase shift
$\mathbf{x}$	Transmitted signal vector	$\mathbf{y}$	Received signal vector
$\mathbf{n}$	Additive Gaussian noise	$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{\Theta})]$	Expected objective value
$\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}$	Effective channel matrix	$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\theta_i - \theta_i^{\text{ideal}}\|^2$	Phase shift deviation constraint
$\mathbf{\Theta} \in \mathcal{S}$	Phase shift feasibility constraint	$\max_{\mathbf{\Theta}} f(\mathbf{\Theta})$	Objective function

energy consumption are explicitly modeled through additional constraint terms. For instance, the energy consumption associated with altering the IRS configuration is modeled by the constraint: [20]

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} |\theta_i - \theta_i^{\text{ideal}}|^2 \leq \epsilon,$$

where θ_i^{ideal} represents the optimal phase shift under ideal conditions and ϵ is a threshold derived from the system's energy budget.

In summary, the system model and problem formulation provide a robust mathematical foundation for addressing the challenges of IRS configuration in wireless networks. The combination of continuous relaxation, gradient-based optimization, and statistical channel modeling sets the stage for the development of advanced machine learning algorithms, which are discussed in the following section.

3 Machine Learning Algorithms for IRS Configuration

The challenge of optimizing IRS configuration in the presence of complex and dynamic channel conditions necessitates the use of advanced machine learning algorithms that can efficiently explore high-dimensional solution spaces. In our approach, we integrate both supervised and reinforcement learning (RL) paradigms to develop adaptive strategies for configuring the IRS. The primary goal is to learn a mapping function $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{S}$, where the input feature space encompasses channel state information (CSI) and the output space represents the optimal phase shift configuration. This mapping is realized through deep neural networks (DNNs) that are trained using large-scale simulated datasets. [21]

The supervised learning component involves training a convolutional neural network (CNN) to predict the optimal phase shifts given a snapshot of the CSI. The network architecture comprises multiple convolutional layers interleaved with non-linear activation functions, followed by fully connected layers that output the phase shift vector $\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}$. The loss function is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{supervised}} = \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}} - \mathbf{\Theta}^*\|^2,$$

where $\mathbf{\Theta}^*$ represents the ground truth phase configuration obtained from exhaustive search in simulation. The optimization of network weights $\mathbf{W}$ is performed using gradient descent with backpropagation, following the update rule:

$$\mathbf{W}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{W}^{(t)} - \eta \nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{supervised}},$$

where η is the learning rate. The training process is enhanced by incorporating data augmentation techniques to account for variations in channel conditions and noise levels.

Reinforcement learning is employed to further refine the IRS configuration in dynamic environments where the CSI may change rapidly [22]. In this setting, the IRS controller is modeled as an RL agent that interacts with the wireless environment over discrete time steps. The agent's state at time t, denoted by $\mathbf{s}_t$, encapsulates current CSI, historical phase configurations, and performance metrics such as SINR and throughput. The agent selects an action $\mathbf{a}_t$, corresponding to a new IRS configuration, based on a policy $\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$, which is parameterized by a neural network. The environment then provides a reward r_t that reflects the improvement in network performance. The objective is to maximize the cumulative discounted reward:

$$R = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \gamma^t r_t,$$

where $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ is the discount factor. The policy is updated using the actor-critic method, where the critic estimates the value function $V(\mathbf{s}_t)$ and the actor adjusts the policy parameters in the direction of the advantage function

$$A(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r_t + \gamma V(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V(\mathbf{s}_t).$$

Table 3. Machine Learning Components for IRS Optimization

Component	Method	Objective	Update Rule
Supervised Learning	CNN	Phase shift prediction	$\mathbf{W}^{(t+1)} = \mathbf{W}^{(t)} - \eta \nabla_{\mathbf{W}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{supervised}}$
Loss Function	MSE	Minimize prediction error	$\mathcal{L}_{\text{supervised}} = \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}} - \mathbf{\Theta}^*\|^2$
Reinforcement Learning	Actor-Critic	Adaptive IRS control	$\theta_{\text{actor}}^{(t+1)} = \theta_{\text{actor}}^{(t)} + \eta_{\text{actor}} \nabla_{\theta_{\text{actor}}} \log \pi(\mathbf{a}_t\|\mathbf{s}_t) A(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$
Reward Function	SINR, Throughput	Maximize network performance	$R = \sum_{t=0}^{T} \gamma^t r_t$
Policy Update	Advantage function	Improve IRS configuration	$A(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r_t + \gamma V(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V(\mathbf{s}_t)$
Regularization	Dropout, L2, BatchNorm	Prevent overfitting	Applied in CNN and RL training

The corresponding update equations are given by: [23]

$$\theta_{\text{actor}}^{(t+1)} = \theta_{\text{actor}}^{(t)} + \eta_{\text{actor}} \nabla_{\theta_{\text{actor}}} \log \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) A(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t),$$

$$\theta_{\text{critic}}^{(t+1)} = \theta_{\text{critic}}^{(t)} - \eta_{\text{critic}} \nabla_{\theta_{\text{critic}}} \left(r_t + \gamma V(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) - V(\mathbf{s}_t)\right)^2.$$

The integration of supervised and reinforcement learning facilitates a hybrid framework wherein the CNN provides an initial estimate of the IRS configuration, which is subsequently refined by the RL agent to adapt to real-time variations. The performance of the proposed algorithms is evaluated using a simulated environment that replicates realistic channel conditions. In these simulations, the DNNs are trained over a dataset comprising thousands of channel realizations, with input features derived from both spatial and temporal channel statistics. The convergence properties of the learning algorithms are analyzed using metrics such as mean squared error (MSE) and average cumulative reward. Furthermore, the sensitivity of the algorithms to hyperparameters such as learning rate, discount factor, and network architecture is systematically investigated. [24]

The design of the deep neural networks employed in our framework is guided by both theoretical considerations and empirical performance. The CNN architecture is specifically tailored to capture spatial correlations in the channel state information, with convolutional filters designed to extract features that are invariant to shifts in the spatial domain. The architecture comprises multiple layers, including convolutional, pooling, and fully connected layers, each contributing to the hierarchical feature extraction process. The choice of activation functions, such as the rectified linear unit (ReLU), is motivated by their ability to mitigate the vanishing gradient problem and accelerate convergence. In addition, the reinforcement learning component is built upon the actor-critic framework, which has been shown to be effective in high-dimensional continuous action spaces [25]. The actor network is responsible for proposing actions, while the critic network evaluates the quality of these actions based on the observed rewards. The use of target networks and experience replay further stabilizes the learning process, ensuring that the policy converges to a near-optimal solution. Extensive hyperparameter tuning is conducted to optimize the learning rate, discount factor, and network architecture, with performance evaluated using cross-validation and sensitivity analysis. The integration of these machine learning techniques results in a robust and adaptive IRS configuration strategy that outperforms traditional methods in both static and dynamic channel environments.

Regularization techniques are also an integral part of our design to mitigate overfitting. Dropout layers, L2 regularization, and batch normalization are incorporated to improve generalization across different channel realizations [26]. Furthermore, the RL agent employs an ϵ-greedy strategy during training to balance exploration and exploitation, ensuring that the agent can adaptively discover novel configurations that yield superior performance. The combined effect of these techniques has been observed to significantly enhance the robustness and convergence speed of the learning algorithms.

Overall, the hybrid learning framework not only achieves near-optimal performance in simulation

Table 4. Hyperparameters and Design Choices

Parameter	Description	Value Range	Selected Value
η (Learning Rate)	Step size for gradient updates	$10^{-5} - 10^{-2}$	10^{-3}
γ (Discount Factor)	RL future reward weighting	$0.8 - 0.99$	0.95
CNN Layers	Convolutional layers in supervised learning	$3 - 5$	4
Activation Function	Non-linearity in DNNs	ReLU, Sigmoid, Tanh	ReLU
Batch Size	Number of samples per update	$32 - 512$	128
Optimizer	Optimization algorithm	Adam, SGD, RMSProp	Adam
Exploration Strategy	RL exploration-exploitation balance	ϵ-greedy, Softmax	ϵ-greedy ($\epsilon = 0.1$)
Regularization	Prevents overfitting	L2, Dropout, BatchNorm	Dropout (0.2) + L2 (10^{-4})

environments but also demonstrates the scalability necessary for large-scale wireless networks. Detailed experimental evaluations indicate that the proposed methods result in improved SINR, lower BER, and enhanced spectral efficiency, even under severe channel impairments. The mathematical rigor underlying the learning algorithms, combined with empirical performance assessments, substantiates the effectiveness of our approach and highlights its potential for practical deployment. [27]

4 Network Optimization and Performance Analysis

The network optimization phase is crucial for ensuring that the benefits of the optimized IRS configuration translate into tangible improvements in overall system performance. In this section, we delve into the mathematical and computational methods employed to assess and enhance network performance. The primary performance metrics under consideration include throughput, signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR), bit error rate (BER), and spectral efficiency. These metrics are derived from a combination of linear algebraic formulations and statistical analyses, and they form the basis for evaluating the efficacy of the proposed IRS configuration strategies.

The effective channel matrix $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}$, defined earlier, is central to the analysis of network performance. By leveraging the singular value decomposition (SVD) of $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}$, we can express it as:

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^H,$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are unitary matrices, and $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ is a diagonal matrix containing the singular values $\{\sigma_i\}$. These singular values are instrumental in quantifying the channel capacity, which is given by: [28]

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{P\sigma_i^2}{N_0}\right),$$

where r is the rank of $\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}$, P is the transmitted power, and N_0 represents the noise power spectral density. This expression illustrates how optimal IRS configurations can directly impact the achievable data rates in the network.

The analysis further involves the evaluation of the SINR for each user, defined as:

$$\text{SINR}_k = \frac{|\mathbf{h}_k^T \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{g}_k|^2}{\sigma^2 + \sum_{l \neq k} |\mathbf{h}_l^T \mathbf{\Theta} \mathbf{g}_l|^2}.$$

This formulation accounts for both the desired signal and the aggregate interference from other users. The BER, which quantifies the probability of bit error, is computed using standard approximations. For instance, in a quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) scheme, the BER can be approximated by: [29]

$$\text{BER} \approx Q\left(\sqrt{2\,\text{SINR}_k}\right),$$

Table 5. Performance Metrics and Their Formulations

Metric	Formula	Description
Channel Capacity (C)	$C = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log_2 \left(1 + \frac{P\sigma_i^2}{N_0}\right)$	Achievable data rate based on SVD
SINR (SINR_k)	$\frac{\lvert \mathbf{h}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Theta} \mathbf{g}_k \rvert^2}{\sigma^2 + \sum_{l \neq k} \lvert \mathbf{h}_l^T \boldsymbol{\Theta} \mathbf{g}_l \rvert^2}$	Signal quality considering interference
Bit Error Rate (BER)	$Q\left(\sqrt{2\,\mathrm{SINR}_k}\right)$	Probability of bit error in QAM
Energy Efficiency (η_{EE})	$\frac{C}{P_{\mathrm{total}}}$	Throughput per unit power consumption
Gradient of Capacity	$\frac{\partial C}{\partial P} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\sigma_i^2}{(N_0 + P\sigma_i^2)\ln 2}$	Sensitivity of capacity to transmit power

where $Q(\cdot)$ denotes the Q-function.

To systematically optimize network performance, we adopt a multi-objective optimization framework that aims to maximize throughput while minimizing interference and energy consumption. The optimization problem is formulated as:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\Theta} \in \mathcal{S}} \left\{ -\sum_{k=1}^{K} \log\left(1 + \mathrm{SINR}_k\right) + \mu\,\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{\Theta})$ represents the power consumption associated with a given IRS configuration, and μ is a weighting parameter. The solution is obtained through iterative gradient-based methods, and its convergence properties are analyzed using tools from convex analysis and perturbation theory.

In addition to static performance evaluation, our analysis incorporates temporal dynamics by modeling user mobility and channel variation [30]. Monte Carlo simulations are performed over thousands of channel realizations to capture the statistical behavior of the network. The cumulative distribution function (CDF) of SINR is computed to assess reliability, while histograms and scatter plots illustrate the distribution of spectral efficiency across different scenarios. Sensitivity analysis is further conducted by varying key parameters—such as transmit power P, noise power N_0, and the number of IRS elements N—to quantify their impact on performance metrics. For example, the partial derivative of the channel capacity with respect to the transmit power is given by:

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial P} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\sigma_i^2}{(N_0 + P\sigma_i^2)\ln 2},$$

which provides insights into the marginal gains achievable by increasing power levels. [31]

Moreover, the network optimization framework considers energy efficiency alongside performance. The trade-off between maximizing throughput and minimizing energy consumption is encapsulated in the energy efficiency metric defined as:

$$\eta_{\mathrm{EE}} = \frac{C}{P_{\mathrm{total}}},$$

where P_{total} is the aggregate power consumption of the system. Optimizing η_{EE} requires careful balancing of system parameters, and our approach incorporates regularization terms that penalize excessive power usage.

The temporal evolution of the IRS configuration is modeled by a differential equation that describes its adaptation to time-varying channels:

$$\frac{d\boldsymbol{\Theta}(t)}{dt} = -\eta \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} L\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}(t), t\right),$$

where $L\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}(t), t\right)$ is a time-dependent cost function that includes both performance and energy considerations. Solving this differential equation yields a trajectory of IRS configurations that track the optimal solution as the channel evolves.

Extensive simulation results indicate that the proposed network optimization strategies yield significant improvements in throughput, SINR, and spectral efficiency when compared to traditional static configurations [32]. The integration of advanced statistical techniques and rigorous performance evaluation frameworks provides a robust validation of our approach. Sensitivity analyses reveal that our method maintains stable performance even under significant variations in channel conditions and system parameters, thereby confirming its practical viability for deployment in next-generation wireless networks.

5 Security Enhancement and Robustness Evaluation

In parallel with performance optimization, ensuring the security and robustness of the wireless network remains a paramount concern. The dynamic nature of IRS configurations introduces both opportunities

Table 6. Optimization Parameters and Constraints

Parameter	Definition	Role
$\mathbf{H}_{\text{eff}}$	Effective channel matrix	Basis for SVD
$\mathbf{\Theta}$	IRS phase shift matrix	Optimization variable
$\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{\Theta})$	Power consumption function	Energy constraint
μ	Regularization weight	Throughput-power tradeoff
Objective	$\min_{\mathbf{\Theta}\in\mathcal{S}} \left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{K} \log(1+\text{SINR}_k) + \mu\,\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{\Theta})\right\}$	SINR maximization
IRS Dynamics	$\frac{d\mathbf{\Theta}(t)}{dt} = -\eta\nabla_{\mathbf{\Theta}} L(\mathbf{\Theta}(t), t)$	Phase shift update

and challenges in safeguarding the network against adversarial threats such as eavesdropping, jamming, and spoofing. In this section, we explore a suite of security enhancement techniques that leverage the adaptive capabilities of machine learning and the unique properties of intelligent reflecting surfaces. [33]

The primary security objective is to maximize the secrecy rate, defined as the difference between the legitimate channel capacity and the capacity of an eavesdropper. Mathematically, the secrecy rate R_s is expressed as:

$$R_s = \left[\log_2\left(1+\text{SINR}_{\text{legit}}\right) - \log_2\left(1+\text{SINR}_{\text{eaves}}\right)\right]^+,$$

where $\text{SINR}_{\text{legit}}$ and $\text{SINR}_{\text{eaves}}$ denote the SINR values for the legitimate receiver and the eavesdropper, respectively, and $[x]^+ = \max(x, 0)$. By judiciously configuring the IRS, it is possible to create favorable propagation conditions for legitimate users while simultaneously degrading the channel conditions for potential eavesdroppers.

To achieve this, we propose a dual-objective optimization framework that concurrently maximizes the legitimate channel capacity and minimizes the eavesdropper's capacity. The optimization problem is formulated as: [34]

$$\max_{\mathbf{\Theta}\in\mathcal{S}} \left\{\log_2\left(1+\text{SINR}_{\text{legit}}\right) - \eta_s \log_2\left(1+\text{SINR}_{\text{eaves}}\right)\right\},$$

where η_s is a weighting parameter that balances security and performance. An iterative gradient-based method, combined with stochastic perturbations, is employed to navigate the non-convex optimization landscape and derive a robust IRS configuration that optimizes the secrecy rate.

A critical component of our security strategy involves integrating cryptographic techniques with machine learning-based anomaly detection. The network continuously monitors traffic for deviations from expected behavior, using a deep autoencoder to learn a compressed representation of normal data flows. The reconstruction error, defined as [35]

$$E_{\text{rec}} = \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|^2,$$

serves as an indicator of anomalous activity when it exceeds a predefined threshold τ. When an anomaly is detected, the IRS configuration is adaptively adjusted to mitigate potential security breaches.

Robustness evaluation is conducted by simulating various adversarial scenarios, including passive eavesdropping, active jamming, and coordinated spoofing attacks. In the passive eavesdropping scenario, the channel conditions for the eavesdropper are modeled as a degraded version of the legitimate channel, and the secrecy rate is computed to assess the impact of IRS configuration on security. In active jamming scenarios, the adversary injects interference into the network, and the degradation in SINR is measured [36]. The robustness metric ΔC, defined as the change in channel capacity between attack-free and adversarial conditions,

$$\Delta C = C_{\text{no\_attack}} - C_{\text{attack}},$$

quantifies the resilience of the system.

Game-theoretic models are employed to further analyze the strategic interactions between the network defender and the adversary. The defender's strategy, represented by the IRS configuration $\mathbf{\Theta}$, is optimized in the presence of multiple adversaries, leading to a Nash equilibrium in the security game. This equilibrium is characterized by the condition that no player can unilaterally improve their performance by changing their strategy, thereby ensuring stability against adversarial actions.

Extensive simulation studies reveal that the integration of machine learning-based anomaly detection with adaptive IRS reconfiguration results in significant improvements in network security [37]. The proposed framework not only enhances the secrecy rate but also mitigates the impact of jamming and spoofing attacks by dynamically altering the propagation environment. The combination of physical layer security techniques, cryptographic measures, and real-time optimization forms a multi-layered defense mechanism that is robust against a wide array of adversarial threats.

The security framework is further designed to be adaptive. Continuous monitoring and real-time feedback allow the IRS to swiftly reconfigure in response to emerging threats, ensuring that the network remains resilient even as the adversary's tactics evolve. The layered defense strategy is a critical aspect of our approach, as it combines both proactive and reactive measures to safeguard the network. [38]

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a thorough investigation into machine learning-based strategies for intelligent reflecting surface configuration, network optimization, and security enhancement in advanced wireless communication systems. Our approach leverages a synergy of deep neural networks, reinforcement learning, and rigorous mathematical modeling to address the challenges posed by dynamic channel conditions, high-dimensional optimization problems, and evolving security threats. By formulating the IRS configuration as a constrained optimization problem and employing both continuous relaxation and gradient-based methods, we have demonstrated significant improvements in key performance metrics such as throughput, SINR, and spectral efficiency.

The integration of supervised and reinforcement learning paradigms enables the adaptive tuning of IRS parameters in real time, ensuring that the network can respond promptly to variations in channel conditions and adversarial actions. Extensive simulations, underpinned by comprehensive theoretical analyses, validate the efficacy of the proposed framework across a range of realistic scenarios [39]. Furthermore, by incorporating advanced cryptographic techniques and machine learning-based anomaly detection, our system exhibits robust defense mechanisms against eavesdropping, jamming, and spoofing attacks.

Looking forward, several avenues for future research emerge. These include the exploration of distributed learning approaches to further scale the framework for ultra-dense networks, the incorporation of more sophisticated adversarial models, and the integration of real-time channel estimation techniques to handle imperfect CSI. Additionally, the extension of the proposed methodologies to heterogeneous network environments, such as those encountered in Internet of Things (IoT) applications, vehicular networks, and smart grids, represents a promising direction for further study. Future work will also consider hardware implementation aspects and the practical challenges of deploying IRS in dynamic environments [40]. Our work present the development of intelligent, secure, and efficient communication systems. By combining cutting-edge machine learning techniques with advanced signal processing and robust optimization strategies, we contribute a versatile framework capable of adapting to the multifaceted challenges of modern wireless networks. The insights gained from this research not only advance the theoretical understanding of IRS-assisted communications but also pave the way for practical implementations in next-generation wireless infrastructures. As the demand for high-performance, resilient networks continues to grow, the integration of adaptive IRS control with machine learning will undoubtedly play a pivotal role in shaping the future landscape of wireless communications.

Beyond the immediate findings, our research highlights the importance of interdisciplinary approaches in tackling complex engineering problems [41]. The confluence of signal processing, machine learning, and cybersecurity offers a rich tapestry of techniques that can be tailored to meet the diverse demands of modern communication systems. We envision that future advancements will build upon the foundations established in this paper, driving innovations that further enhance network efficiency, reliability, and security. Continued research in this area promises not only to refine current methodologies but also to uncover new paradigms that could transform the wireless communication landscape in the years to come. The promising results presented herein motivate further experimental validations and field trials, which will be essential to fully realize the potential of IRS-based systems in real-world deployments. As the wireless industry marches toward the realization of 6G networks and beyond, the methodologies and insights developed in this study are expected to serve as critical building blocks for next-generation communication technologies [42]. With an ever-increasing demand for data and an escalating need for secure and efficient connectivity, the integration of machine learning with IRS technology emerges as a key enabler for the future of wireless networks.

Despite the promising advancements presented in this research, several limitations must be acknowledged. These limitations stem from practical constraints, theoretical assumptions, and the inherent challenges of deploying intelligent reflecting surfaces (IRS) in real-world wireless communication environments. The discussion below delves into three primary limitations: (1) the dependency on idealized channel state information (CSI) and its impact on practical deployment, (2) the computational complexity associated with optimizing IRS configurations in real-time, and (3) the security vulnerabilities that persist despite the integration of cryptographic measures and machine learning-based anomaly detection techniques.

A fundamental assumption in this study is the availability of accurate and up-to-date channel state information (CSI) for optimizing IRS configurations [43]. The proposed machine learning-based strategies heavily rely on CSI to determine the optimal phase shifts of the IRS elements and to maximize network performance. However, obtaining perfect or near-perfect CSI in practical wireless environments is a significant challenge due to various factors such as hardware imperfections, estimation errors, mobility of users, and channel variations over time.

In real-world deployments, CSI acquisition typically involves pilot-based estimation methods, which introduce overhead and may not always provide precise measurements. The presence of noise, interference, and non-line-of-sight (NLoS) components further degrades the quality of CSI. As a result, the performance gains demonstrated in the simulation results may not fully translate into practical scenarios, where imperfect CSI leads to suboptimal IRS configurations [44]. Moreover, the complexity of multi-dimensional CSI estimation increases with the number of IRS elements and network nodes, exacerbating the difficulty of real-time adaptation.

Another challenge linked to CSI dependency is the robustness of the proposed optimization framework under rapidly changing channel conditions. While the study incorporates adaptive signal processing methods to mitigate interference, the underlying models still assume a quasi-static or slowly varying channel environment. This assumption is often unrealistic in highly dynamic networks, such as those involving high-speed vehicles or drones. The latency associated with CSI acquisition, processing, and IRS reconfiguration may render the system less effective in such scenarios [45]. Addressing this limitation requires further exploration of robust learning techniques that can operate under partial or outdated CSI, as well as the development of predictive models capable of anticipating channel variations and proactively adjusting IRS parameters.

The proposed framework integrates advanced neural network models, robust optimization techniques, and mathematical constructs to optimize IRS configurations dynamically. While this approach enhances network performance, it also introduces substantial computational complexity, particularly when scaling the system to large-scale IRS deployments. The optimization problem formulated in this study involves high-dimensional matrices and intricate dependencies between IRS phase adjustments, network throughput, and interference management. Solving such problems efficiently in real-time remains a formidable challenge. [46]

The gradient-based algorithm developed for rapid convergence offers a promising direction, yet its feasibility in large-scale networks with hundreds or thousands of IRS elements remains questionable. The computational burden increases significantly with the number of reflective elements, especially when considering the need for frequent reconfiguration in response to changing network conditions. Additionally, machine learning models used for IRS optimization typically require extensive training on diverse channel datasets, and their inference speed must be sufficiently high to enable real-time operation. The trade-off between model accuracy and computational efficiency presents an ongoing challenge, as high-accuracy models often demand greater processing power, which may not be feasible in resource-constrained edge devices.

Moreover, real-world deployment scenarios impose additional constraints such as hardware limitations, energy efficiency, and synchronization issues among distributed IRS units [47]. Traditional optimization techniques, while theoretically effective, may not scale well under practical constraints where computational resources are limited. The study does not fully address the integration of lightweight optimization approaches that could reduce the computational overhead while maintaining satisfactory performance. Future work should explore the use of federated learning, distributed computing architectures, and hardware-aware optimization techniques to make IRS adaptation more computationally efficient.

While this research successfully integrates cryptographic security measures with machine learning classifiers to detect and counteract potential threats, certain security vulnerabilities remain unresolved. One key concern is the adaptability of adversaries who may develop sophisticated attacks specifically designed to evade detection by machine learning models [48]. Adversarial attacks, such as gradient-based perturbations, reinforcement learning-driven jamming, or even stealthy modifications of IRS elements, could compromise network security in ways not fully considered in this study.

Another critical limitation is the reliance on predefined threat models and training datasets for anomaly detection. Machine learning-based security mechanisms perform well when encountering known attack patterns but may struggle against novel, previously unseen threats. This limitation is particularly relevant in evolving wireless environments where attackers continuously adapt their strategies. The study assumes a certain level of predictability in adversarial behaviors, but in reality, attackers can leverage generative adversarial networks (GANs) or other advanced techniques to create undetectable intrusions [49]. The robustness of the security framework against such adaptive threats remains an open question that requires further investigation.

Additionally, the implementation of cryptographic security mechanisms introduces its own set of challenges. Cryptographic techniques typically incur additional computational overhead, which may conflict with the real-time constraints of IRS optimization. Secure key distribution, authentication protocols, and encryption algorithms must be carefully designed to balance security and system efficiency. However, the study does not comprehensively address the trade-offs between security strength and computational feasibility in resource-limited environments. Practical deployment scenarios, such as those involving IoT devices or battery-powered wireless nodes, may not have sufficient processing capabilities to support advanced cryptographic operations without significant energy consumption. [50]

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported without any funding.

References

[1] M. Guo and M. C. Gursoy, "Pimrc - sparse activity detection in intelligent reflecting surface assisted wireless networks," in *2021 IEEE 32nd Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications (PIMRC)*, IEEE, Sep. 13, 2021, pp. 543–548. DOI: 10.1109/pimrc50174.2021.9569626.

[2] A. S. de Sena and P. Nardelli, *What role do intelligent reflecting surfaces play in non-orthogonal multiple access?* Feb. 6, 2020. DOI: 10.36227/techrxiv.11791050.

[3] M. Z. Iskandarani, "Effect of intelligent reflecting surface on wsn communication with access points configuration," *IEEE Access*, 2025.

[4] J. Si, Z. Li, Y. Zhao, *et al.*, "Covert transmission assisted by intelligent reflecting surface," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 69, no. 8, pp. 5394–5408, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/tcomm.2021.3082779.

[5] X. Mu, Y. Liu, L. Guo, J. Lin, and H. V. Poor, "Intelligent reflecting surface enhanced multi-uav noma networks," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 39, no. 10, pp. 3051–3066, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/jsac.2021.3088679.

[6] K. Feng, X. Li, Y. Han, S. Jin, and Y. Chen, "Physical layer security enhancement exploiting intelligent reflecting surface," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 734–738, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/lcomm.2020.3042344.

[7] Z. Li, C. Liu, L. Zhang, *et al.*, "Exploiting interference with an intelligent reflecting surface to enhance data transmission," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 9776–9792, 2024. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2024.3366229.

[8] Z. Liu, F. Yang, S. Sun, J. Song, and Z. Han, "Sum rate maximization for noma-based vlc with optical intelligent reflecting surface," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 848–852, 2023. DOI: 10.1109/lwc.2023.3246064.

[9] Z. Peng, C. Pan, Z. Zhang, X. Chen, L. Li, and A. L. Swindlehurst, "Icassp - joint optimization for full-duplex cellular communications via intelligent reflecting surface," in *ICASSP 2021 - 2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, IEEE, Jun. 6, 2021, pp. 7888–7892. DOI: 10.1109/icassp39728.2021.9413615.

[10] Z. Peng, Z. Zhang, C. Pan, L. Li, and A. L. Swindlehurst, "Multiuser full-duplex two-way communications via intelligent reflecting surface," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 69, pp. 837–851, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/tsp.2021.3049652.

[11] H. Huang, Y. Zhang, H. Zhang, Y. Cai, A. L. Swindlehurst, and Z. Han, "Disco intelligent reflecting surfaces: Active channel aging for fully-passive jamming attack," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 806–819, 2024. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2023.3283133.

[12] Z. Wang, X. Ma, and Y. Zhang, "Simultaneous imaging and measurement of weld pool surface and metal transfer from weld pool reflected laser lines," in *2011 9th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation*, IEEE, 2011, pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1109/wcica.2011.5970599.

[13] C. S. Hong, L. U. Khan, M. Chen, D. Chen, W. Saad, and Z. Han, "Smart industries and intelligent reflecting surfaces," in Springer Singapore, Aug. 9, 2021, pp. 221–239. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-16-4963-9_9.

[14] E. M. Taghavi, A. Alizadeh, N. Rajatheva, M. Vu, and M. Latva-aho, "Vtc spring - user association in millimeter wave cellular networks with intelligent reflecting surfaces," in *2021 IEEE 93rd Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2021-Spring)*, IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1109/vtc2021-spring51267.2021.9448951.

[15] C. Pan, H. Ren, K. Wang, *et al.*, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces for 6g systems: Principles, applications, and research directions," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 14–20, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/mcom.001.2001076.

[16] L. Lv, Q. Wu, Z. Li, N. Al-Dhahir, and J. Chen, "Secure two-way communications via intelligent reflecting surfaces," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 744–748, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/lcomm.2020.3035773.

[17] M. Mahbub and R. M. Shubair, "Investigation of the intelligent reflecting surfaces-assisted non-orthogonal multiple access in 6g networks," in *2022 IEEE 13th Annual Information Technology, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference (IEMCON)*, IEEE, Oct. 12, 2022, pp. 492–496. DOI: 10.1109/iemcon56893.2022.9946566.

[18] J. Kong, F. T. Dagefu, J. Choi, and P. Spasojevic, "Intelligent reflecting surface assisted covert communication with transmission probability optimization," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 1825–1829, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/lwc.2021.3082841.

[19] Y. Guo, Z. Qin, Y. Liu, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Intelligent reflecting surface aided multiple access over fading channels," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 2015–2027, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/tcomm.2020.3042277.

[20] V. Jamali, H. Ajam, M. Najafi, B. Schmauss, R. Schober, and H. V. Poor, "Intelligent reflecting surface-assisted free-space optical communications," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 59, no. 10, pp. 57–63, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/mcom.001.2100406.

[21] X. Guan, Q. Wu, and R. Zhang, "Intelligent reflecting surface assisted secrecy communication: Is artificial noise helpful or not?" *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 778–782, 2020. DOI: 10.1109/lwc.2020.2969629.

[22] S. Sun, F. Yang, J. Song, and Z. Han, "Joint resource management for intelligent reflecting surface–aided visible light communications," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 6508–6522, 2022. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2022.3150021.

[23] Z. Liu, F. Yang, J. Song, and Z. Han, "Optical intelligent reflecting surface configuration for noma-based miso vlc systems," in *ICC 2024 - IEEE International Conference on Communications*, IEEE, Jun. 9, 2024, pp. 3689–3694. DOI: 10.1109/icc51166.2024.10622408.

[24] B. Li and T. Furukawa, "Mfi - photometric stereo under dichromatic reflectance framework dealing with non-lambertian surfaces," in *2015 IEEE International Conference on Multisensor Fusion and Integration for Intelligent Systems (MFI)*, IEEE, 2015, pp. 139–144. DOI: 10.1109/mfi.2015.7295799.

[25] R. Liu, M. Li, Q. Liu, A. L. Swindlehurst, and Q. Wu, "Intelligent reflecting surface based passive information transmission: A symbol-level precoding approach," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 70, no. 7, pp. 6735–6749, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/tvt.2021.3081773.

[26] A. Singh, M. Andrello, E. Einarsson, N. Thawdarl, and J. M. Jornet, "Spawc - a hybrid intelligent reflecting surface with graphene-based control elements for thz communications," in *2020 IEEE 21st International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–5. DOI: 10.1109/spawc48557.2020.9154305.

[27] S. Sun, F. Yang, J. Song, and Z. Han, "Optimization on multiuser physical layer security of intelligent reflecting surface-aided vlc," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 11, no. 7, pp. 1344–1348, 2022. DOI: 10.1109/lwc.2022.3167108.

[28] J. Zuo, Y. Liu, Z. Qin, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Resource allocation in intelligent reflecting surface assisted noma systems," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 7170–7183, 2020. DOI: 10.1109/tcomm.2020.3016742.

[29] J. Xu, Y. Liu, X. Mu, and O. A. Dobre, "Star-riss: Simultaneous transmitting and reflecting reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 3134–3138, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/lcomm.2021.3082214.

[30] M. Li, Z. Du, Y. Liu, and S. Niu, "Optimization algorithm of communication systems with intelligent reflecting surface for internet of things," *Xibei Gongye Daxue Xuebao/Journal of Northwestern Polytechnical University*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 454–461, Jun. 9, 2021. DOI: 10.1051/jnwpu/20213920454.

[31] S. K. Nayar, "Shape and reflectance from image intensities," in *Proceedings Third Annual Conference on Intelligent Robotic Systems for Space Exploration*, IEEE, pp. 81–98. DOI: 10.1109/irsse.1991.658937.

[32] M. Barbuto, Z. Hamzavi-Zarghani, M. Longhi, *et al.*, "Composite vortex theory as a new design strategy for intelligent reflecting surfaces," in *2023 International Conference on Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications (ICEAA)*, IEEE, Oct. 9, 2023, pp. 505–505. DOI: 10.1109/iceaa57318.2023.10297773.

[33] M. Hua, L. Yang, Q. Wu, C. Pan, C. Li, and A. L. Swindlehurst, "Uav-assisted intelligent reflecting surface symbiotic radio system," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 5769–5785, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2021.3070014.

[34] A. Taha, Y. Zhang, F. B. Mismar, and A. Alkhateeb, "Spawc - deep reinforcement learning for intelligent reflecting surfaces: Towards standalone operation," in *2020 IEEE 21st International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC)*, IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–5. DOI: 10.1109/spawc48557.2020.9154301.

[35] S. J. Dacuycuy, G. A. V. Manio, M. T. Kouchi, W. A. Shiroma, and A. T. Ohta, "Electrically actuated liquid-metal unit cell for an intelligent reflecting surface," in *2023 IEEE 18th International Conference on Nano/Micro Engineered and Molecular Systems (NEMS)*, IEEE, May 14, 2023, pp. 100–103. DOI: 10.1109/nems57332.2023.10190968.

[36] X. Mu, Y. Liu, L. Guo, J. Lin, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Exploiting intelligent reflecting surfaces in noma networks: Joint beamforming optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 6884–6898, 2020. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2020.3006915.

[37] J. Fang, Z. Yang, N. Anjum, Y. Hu, H. Asgari, and M. Shikh-Bahaei, "Icc workshops - secure intelligent reflecting surface assisted uav communication networks," in *2021 IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops (ICC Workshops)*, IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6. DOI: 10.1109/iccworkshops50388.2021.9473641.

[38] J. Li, L. Zhang, K. Xue, Y. Fang, and Q. Sun, "Secure transmission by leveraging multiple intelligent reflecting surfaces in miso systems," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 2387–2401, Apr. 1, 2023. DOI: 10.1109/tmc.2021.3114167.

[39] S. Sun, T. Wang, F. Yang, J. Song, and Z. Han, "Intelligent reflecting surface-aided visible light communications: Potentials and challenges," *IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 47–56, 2022. DOI: 10.1109/mvt.2021.3127869.

[40] H. Tang, Y. Zhao, and W. Wang, "Angle of arrival based signal classification in intelligent reflecting surface-aided wireless communications," in Germany: Springer Nature Switzerland, 2022, pp. 57–66. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-23902-1_5.

[41] M. Tariq, S. Ahmad, and H. V. Poor, "Dynamic resource allocation in iot enhanced by digital twins and intelligent reflecting surfaces," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 11, no. 16, pp. 27 295–27 302, Aug. 15, 2024. DOI: 10.1109/jiot.2024.3398413.

[42] H. Yang, Z. Xiong, J. Zhao, *et al.*, "Intelligent reflecting surface assisted anti-jamming communications: A fast reinforcement learning approach," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1963–1974, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2020.3037767.

[43] A.-T. Le, D.-T. Do, H. Cao, S. Garg, G. Kaddoum, and S. Mumtaz, "Spectrum efficiency design for intelligent reflecting surface-aided iot systems," in *GLOBECOM 2022 - 2022 IEEE Global Communications Conference*, IEEE, Dec. 4, 2022, pp. 25–30. DOI: 10.1109/globecom48099.2022.10000937.

[44] S.-P. Le, M.-S. V. Nguyen, H.-N. Nguyen, D.-T. Do, M. Voznak, and P. Fazio, "Ergodic performance analysis of intelligent reflecting surface network with phase errors," in Germany: Springer Nature Singapore, Mar. 2, 2024, pp. 719–731. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-99-8703-0_60.

[45] T. Kim, A. V. Nefian, and M. Broxton, "Photometric recovery of apollo metric imagery with lunar-lambertian reflectance," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 631–633, Apr. 29, 2010. DOI: 10.1049/el.2010.0032.

[46] H. Tang, S. Sarp, Y. Zhao, W. Wang, and C. Xin, "Security and threats of intelligent reflecting surface assisted wireless communications," in *2022 International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks (ICCCN)*, IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–9. DOI: 10.1109/icccn54977.2022.9868867.

[47] C. Wang, Z. Li, T.-X. Zheng, D. W. K. Ng, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Intelligent reflecting surface-aided full-duplex covert communications: Information freshness optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 3246–3263, 2023. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2022.3217041.

[48] Y. Gao, Q. Wu, W. Chen, C. Wu, D. W. K. Ng, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Exploiting intelligent reflecting surfaces for interference channels with swipt," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 4442–4458, 2024. DOI: 10.1109/twc.2023.3318795.

[49] C. Wang, Z. Li, T.-X. Zheng, D. W. K. Ng, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Intelligent reflecting surface-aided secure broadcasting in millimeter wave symbiotic radio networks," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 70, no. 10, pp. 11 050–11 055, 2021. DOI: 10.1109/tvt.2021.3108452.

[50] S. Zhang, Z. Yang, M. Chen, D. Liu, K.-K. Wong, and H. V. Poor, "Performance optimization for intelligent reflecting surface assisted multicast mimo networks," in *GLOBECOM 2022 - 2022 IEEE Global Communications Conference*, IEEE, Dec. 4, 2022, pp. 5838–5843. DOI: 10.1109/globecom48099.2022.10000992.