



# Human-AI Collaboration in Creative Industries: Workflows in Media Production and Community-Driven Platforms

Budi Sanato<sup>1</sup> and Rina Wijayanti<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Mulawarman, Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, Jalan Kuaro, Samarinda, East Kalimantan, Indonesia.

<sup>2</sup> Universitas Jenderal Soedirman, Department of Informatics, Jalan Prof. Dr. HR Boenyamin, Purwokerto, Central Java, Indonesia.

## Abstract

Human-AI collaboration is rapidly reshaping creative industries, catalyzing new modes of content production, distribution, and engagement. This paper investigates transformative workflows in media production and community-driven platforms, exploring how artificial intelligence amplifies human creativity without supplanting the artist's role. We examine how advanced machine learning techniques—particularly deep neural architectures and generative models—integrate into traditional media processes to accelerate tasks such as storyboarding, visual effects, music composition, and interactive game design. Additionally, we highlight how community-driven platforms facilitate collective ideation, critique, and iteration, forging new opportunities for crowdsourced innovations and democratized content creation. The synergy between human ingenuity and computational efficiency introduces challenges related to data ethics, bias mitigation, and intellectual property rights. Nonetheless, strategic approaches that combine algorithmic transparency, user-centric interface design, and continuous stakeholder feedback can mitigate these concerns. By analyzing both the technical underpinnings and practical implications of AI integration, we demonstrate that human-AI collaboration can serve

as a powerful engine of creativity and expression, empowering professional studios and independent creators alike. We conclude by suggesting research directions that may expand the reach and scope of collaborative systems, thus ensuring a sustainable and ethically responsible trajectory for AI-driven creative workflows.

## Copyright

2024. Transactions on Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, and Cognitive Systems, 9(11), 11–26.

© 2024 IFS (Institute of Fourier Studies)

## 1 Introduction

The intersection of human creativity and artificial intelligence (AI) has spurred a technological and cultural revolution in creative industries, compelling practitioners and researchers to reevaluate the design, execution, and dissemination of art in various forms [1]. Media production—encompassing film, music, visual arts, interactive entertainment, and more—stands at the forefront of this evolution, where the pace of innovation has accelerated. Traditionally, creative processes were anchored by human intuition, emotion, and cultural context. Yet, in recent years, advanced computational tools have broadened the scope of creative expression, enabling hybrid forms of content that merge the precision of machine-driven analysis with the originality of human talent [2]. These developments not only shape artistic practices but also stimulate dialogues on intellectual property, ethical considerations, and human-centric design.

The significance of AI in creative realms lies not merely in automation. Rather, it emerges from the potential for

Submitted: 2024

Accepted: 2024

Published: 2024

Vol. 9, No. 11, 2024.



symbiotic interactions in which AI augments human capabilities, proposes fresh design perspectives, and performs data-intensive tasks that might otherwise be prohibitively time-consuming. Machine learning models—especially deep neural networks—excel in pattern recognition and generative operations, providing insights that were previously difficult to uncover [3]. This technological empowerment, however, brings forth nuanced challenges. Ethical quandaries, questions of authorship, and the complex interplay between individualized creativity and collective ownership underline the complex landscape. As AI extends its reach from specialized research labs into consumer applications, the democratization of creative technologies is both unprecedented and fraught with new responsibilities.

Human-AI collaboration extends beyond individual artistic pursuits to include shared platforms where creators, engineers, and general audiences collaborate [4]. Such community-driven ecosystems encourage open innovation, harness crowd intelligence, and rapidly disseminate creative content. The ubiquity of social media and cloud-based services means that content can be generated, modified, and distributed at a global scale in real time. While this opens the door to diverse expressions and wide-reaching impact, it also necessitates robust frameworks for oversight, transparency, and scalability. Conflicts over plagiarism, bias in training data, and content moderation underscore the need for systemic improvements in AI governance and platform design [5]. Within this dynamically shifting terrain, it is essential to maintain a measured balance between encouraging exploration and upholding ethical standards.

The transformation of creative domains through AI is exemplified by developments in generative models such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), and transformer-based architectures. These models empower artists and designers by enabling novel styles, real-time content generation, and automation of labor-intensive creative tasks [6]. For example, AI-driven tools like DALL-E and MidJourney facilitate the rapid production of visual art by synthesizing images based on textual descriptions. Such capabilities alter the traditional paradigms of artistic creation, introducing computational aesthetics that challenge established notions of originality. In music, AI-generated compositions by models like OpenAI's MuseNet or Google's Magenta project reveal

the potential for algorithmic systems to analyze vast corpora of musical works and produce compositions that reflect both historical and contemporary styles. These breakthroughs raise questions regarding aesthetic value, authorship, and the philosophical implications of machine-generated creativity [7].

As AI-generated content gains traction, legal and ethical concerns surrounding intellectual property (IP) rights become increasingly relevant. The attribution of creative works to AI systems complicates conventional frameworks for copyright law, which typically recognize human authorship as the basis for ownership. Some jurisdictions have begun to explore new policies regarding AI-generated works, yet the legal consensus remains fragmented. The ambiguity surrounding AI-generated art necessitates a reevaluation of ownership structures, licensing agreements, and economic models within creative industries [8]. Furthermore, the training of AI models often relies on datasets composed of preexisting artworks, which raises ethical concerns regarding consent, fair use, and potential infringement. Addressing these issues requires interdisciplinary cooperation among legal scholars, technologists, and artists to develop frameworks that balance innovation with respect for artistic integrity.

Another dimension of AI's impact on creative fields is its potential to influence artistic interpretation and audience engagement. Interactive media, including AI-assisted storytelling and game design, exemplify the dynamic nature of computational creativity [9]. AI-driven game engines are capable of generating immersive environments, adaptive narratives, and personalized user experiences. Similarly, generative AI has been employed in film post-production to enhance visual effects, automate video editing, and even resurrect historical figures through deepfake technology. While these applications demonstrate the efficiency and creative potential of AI, they also introduce ethical dilemmas related to misinformation, identity manipulation, and the authenticity of artistic representation. The proliferation of deepfake media, in particular, raises concerns about the potential for AI-generated content to deceive audiences and distort reality, necessitating mechanisms for verification and accountability [10].

AI's growing influence on artistic creation also intersects with broader societal and cultural implications. The accessibility of AI-driven creative tools lowers the barrier to entry for amateur creators,

fostering new forms of participatory culture. Social media platforms increasingly integrate AI-generated filters, music remixing tools, and automated content suggestions, enabling users to engage in creative expression with minimal technical expertise [11]. However, this democratization of creativity also prompts concerns regarding homogeneity and algorithmic bias. AI models trained on dominant cultural narratives may inadvertently reinforce stereotypes and marginalize underrepresented artistic traditions. The challenge lies in designing AI systems that reflect diverse cultural perspectives while ensuring equitable representation in algorithmic outputs.

Furthermore, the integration of AI into creative processes has implications for labor markets within creative industries [12]. Automation has the potential to displace certain roles traditionally performed by human artists, editors, and designers, raising questions about job security and the evolving nature of creative professions. Conversely, AI can also serve as a catalyst for new creative opportunities, enabling professionals to explore uncharted artistic territories and experiment with hybrid human-machine collaborations. The key to navigating these transformations lies in fostering adaptive skill development and promoting interdisciplinary education that equips artists and designers with the technical literacy to engage meaningfully with AI technologies.

The discourse surrounding AI in creative industries is further complicated by issues of transparency and explainability [13]. Many AI-generated works are the product of complex neural networks that operate as "black boxes," making it difficult for users to understand how certain creative decisions are made. This opacity poses challenges in critical evaluation, artistic critique, and consumer trust. Efforts to develop explainable AI (XAI) frameworks that provide insights into model behavior could enhance user comprehension and facilitate more informed interactions between artists and AI systems. Additionally, ethical guidelines and best practices for AI-assisted creativity should be established to ensure responsible use of technology in artistic contexts [14], [15].

The rapid evolution of AI in creative industries necessitates ongoing research and policy interventions to address the multifaceted implications of this technological shift. As AI continues to redefine artistic paradigms, interdisciplinary collaboration

among computer scientists, artists, ethicists, and policymakers will be essential in shaping a future where human creativity and artificial intelligence coexist harmoniously. Striking a balance between technological advancement and ethical responsibility will be paramount in ensuring that AI serves as a tool for creative empowerment rather than a mechanism for artistic displacement.

This paper proceeds by dissecting the core technical advances in AI that facilitate novel modes of media production and artistic collaboration [16]. We explore real-world workflows and case studies that illustrate how AI and human creativity can converge to produce pioneering content. We then shift our focus to the community-driven paradigms that enable collective ideation and iterative refinement at large scales. Technical dimensions, including data engineering, model interpretability, and user-centric design, are scrutinized alongside ethical considerations related to autonomy, responsibility, and justice [17]. In synthesizing these multiple viewpoints, we aim to chart a comprehensive path forward for integrating AI into creative industries responsibly and effectively.

The following sections map out the tools, methods, and best practices that define the emerging landscape of AI-driven creative work. From cutting-edge neural architectures to the social and cultural frameworks that guide their deployment, we examine how technological and human factors interact to drive innovation. Ultimately, the paper underscores the dual imperative of fostering creative expansion through AI while maintaining a vigilant stance on ethical, legal, and societal ramifications [18]. Our conclusions and recommendations suggest strategies for leveraging AI's potential to co-create transformative cultural artifacts, bridging the realms of computation and human ingenuity in a manner that enriches both.

## 2 Transformative Workflows in Media Production

Human-AI collaboration in media production redefines traditional models of content creation, moving beyond linear production pipelines to more fluid, iterative, and feedback-driven processes. A pivotal component of these modern workflows is the integration of AI-driven tools at multiple stages of the creative lifecycle. From conceptual design and prototyping to post-production and distribution, each phase benefits from distinct machine-learning approaches tailored to address specific complexities [19]. These advancements fundamentally shift how

**Table 1.** Comparison of AI-Generated and Human-Created Artworks

Aspect	AI-Generated Art	Human-Created Art
Creativity	Based on data-driven synthesis and pattern recognition	Derived from personal experience, emotions, and cultural influences
Originality	Often derivative of existing styles due to dataset training	Uniquely inspired by individual vision and innovation
Ethical Concerns	Risk of bias, plagiarism, and authorship ambiguity	Subject to ethical considerations but grounded in human agency
Audience Perception	Can be perceived as impressive but lacks intentional human touch	Valued for personal expression and authenticity

**Table 2.** Key AI Technologies in Creative Media

Technology	Application in Creative Fields
Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs)	Used for image synthesis, deepfake technology, and artistic style transfer
Transformer Models	Applied in natural language generation, scriptwriting, and automated storytelling
Neural Style Transfer	Enables transformation of images to mimic artistic styles
AI Music Composition	Generates new musical pieces by analyzing patterns in existing compositions

creative professionals interact with digital tools, embedding AI not merely as an auxiliary resource but as an active participant in co-creative processes.

In the early stages of production, generative models such as Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and Variational Autoencoders (VAEs) enable the rapid exploration of novel art concepts. For instance, storyboard artists can use AI-assisted systems that propose scene layouts, color palettes, or character designs. These systems draw upon massive datasets of existing media to produce suggested templates or variations, accelerating the brainstorming process [20]. Although such tools do not replace the deep narrative insights and subjective choices of human creators, they offload tasks tied to pattern generation, style matching, and low-level rendering. This frees artists to concentrate on high-level storytelling, thematic coherence, and emotional engagement. Furthermore, reinforcement learning techniques enable AI models to adapt based on user feedback, refining design outputs iteratively in a way that aligns with artistic intent. This dynamic interaction fosters an environment where human vision is augmented rather than constrained by computational capabilities. [21]

As production transitions into more concrete phases,

the collaboration evolves. AI can monitor script revisions and identify inconsistencies in character arcs, or cross-reference continuity between different scenes in film projects. Machine-learning-driven editing software offers automated object recognition, frame classification, and advanced color grading features [22]. In music production, AI-generated beats and harmonies serve as an initial scaffold, upon which human composers can build more nuanced melodic lines and orchestrations. These platforms leverage recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and transformer-based architectures that have been trained on extensive music corpora. By offloading some of the labor-intensive aspects of composition, these systems help reduce overall production time and expand the creative bandwidth for professional studios and independent creators. The adaptability of these AI frameworks also allows artists to generate variations of a theme efficiently, thereby enhancing the iterative refinement process without significantly increasing workload. [23]

AI integration extends to film and television production, where deep learning-based video processing techniques enable automated scene composition analysis. Facial recognition models

identify actors across shots, ensuring continuity, while motion tracking algorithms enhance special effects post-production. Similarly, Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques can assist scriptwriters by generating alternative dialogues, analyzing sentiment shifts, or even providing multilingual adaptations. The computational ability to analyze vast amounts of historical screenplay data allows AI to suggest structural improvements, optimizing pacing and narrative flow [24]. This is particularly useful in serialized storytelling, where maintaining thematic and character consistency across multiple episodes or seasons poses a significant challenge. AI-enhanced tools that monitor linguistic coherence and subtextual nuances allow writers to refine dialogue dynamically while preserving artistic intent.

In post-production, AI-powered tools facilitate complex visual effects (VFX) generation, reducing manual labor in rotoscoping, background replacement, and scene reconstruction. Neural rendering techniques enable the creation of photorealistic CGI elements that seamlessly blend with live-action footage [25]. For instance, StyleGANs can be leveraged to generate highly detailed textures for digital environments, while physics-based AI models assist in simulating realistic lighting and material interactions. These capabilities minimize the time-intensive manual processes traditionally associated with rendering, compositing, and animation refinement. AI also plays a crucial role in adaptive frame rate optimization, automatically enhancing video clarity in various display formats. This is particularly relevant for high-definition streaming platforms, where content needs to be dynamically adjusted for different devices and bandwidth constraints. [26]

The role of AI extends beyond production and into content distribution, where machine-learning algorithms optimize media recommendation systems. Personalized content delivery mechanisms, driven by collaborative filtering and deep user profiling, enhance audience engagement by predicting viewer preferences based on consumption patterns. Streaming services utilize AI to curate playlists, auto-generate metadata, and even create localized subtitles with improved contextual accuracy [15], [27]. This level of automation reduces the logistical overhead of global media distribution and ensures that content reaches the right audience efficiently. Furthermore, AI-driven sentiment analysis tools provide real-time feedback on audience reception, allowing creators to iteratively adjust marketing strategies and promotional materials

based on data-driven insights.

Beyond structured media formats, AI's impact is pronounced in interactive entertainment such as video games and virtual reality (VR) experiences. Procedural content generation techniques enable the dynamic creation of game worlds, characters, and narratives that evolve based on player choices [28]. Reinforcement learning models simulate non-playable character (NPC) behaviors, creating more responsive and immersive gaming experiences. AI-driven motion synthesis further enhances realism in character animations, adapting movement patterns dynamically to environmental conditions. These innovations reduce the manual effort required in game design while simultaneously expanding creative possibilities for developers.

The synergy between human intuition and AI-driven efficiency highlights the necessity of ethical considerations in collaborative media production [29]. The increasing reliance on AI-generated content raises questions about authorship, intellectual property rights, and creative ownership. For instance, if an AI model generates an original film score based on trained datasets of existing compositions, determining legal attribution becomes a complex issue. Additionally, the potential for AI-generated deepfake technology to manipulate media content necessitates robust verification protocols to ensure authenticity. Media organizations are increasingly exploring blockchain-based provenance tracking to establish transparent records of content creation and modification [30]. This approach not only safeguards creative integrity but also reinforces accountability in AI-augmented workflows.

Despite these challenges, the continued evolution of AI in media production presents unprecedented opportunities for artistic expression. The ability to experiment with diverse styles, automate repetitive processes, and enhance creative decision-making fundamentally reshapes the landscape of digital content creation. As AI models become more sophisticated, their role in media production will likely transition from supportive to truly co-creative, enabling human artists to explore new narrative frontiers [31]. The future of media production lies in harmonizing computational intelligence with human artistry, fostering a symbiotic relationship that transcends conventional boundaries of creativity.

The transformative impact of AI on media production is further evidenced by emerging hybrid workflows

**Table 3.** Comparison of AI Applications in Different Media Production Stages

Production Stage	AI Techniques Used	Benefits
Pre-Production	Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), Variational Autoencoders (VAEs), NLP-based script analysis	Rapid concept ideation, automated storyboard generation, script consistency checking
Production	Machine learning-based object recognition, real-time AI-assisted cinematography, transformer-based music composition	Efficient scene continuity management, AI-driven shot composition, enhanced music scoring
Post-Production	Neural rendering, automated VFX enhancement, adaptive frame rate optimization	Reduced rendering time, improved CGI realism, optimized video quality for diverse platforms
Distribution	AI-powered recommendation systems, sentiment analysis, automatic translation	Targeted content delivery, real-time audience insights, seamless localization

where human artists and AI models operate in tandem to optimize creative processes. For instance, real-time deep learning-driven motion capture systems now allow animators to fine-tune character movements instantaneously, reducing post-processing requirements [32]. Similarly, AI-based predictive analytics inform production scheduling, minimizing resource allocation inefficiencies. These integrations exemplify the growing interdependence between computational intelligence and human expertise in contemporary content creation.

As AI-driven content generation continues to evolve, ethical frameworks governing its application must be rigorously developed. Issues such as algorithmic bias, dataset representation, and cultural sensitivity must be carefully addressed to prevent unintended consequences in media portrayal [33]. Collaborative AI systems should be designed with transparency, enabling creators to retain interpretative control over AI-assisted outputs. Future advancements in explainable AI (XAI) will likely play a pivotal role in demystifying machine-learning processes, ensuring that human users can understand and influence the logic behind AI-generated creative decisions.

The trajectory of AI in media production signals an ongoing paradigm shift where computational models transition from passive tools to active creative partners. This shift necessitates a redefinition of artistic roles, emphasizing a balanced interplay between human ingenuity and algorithmic precision [34]. By fostering

collaborative ecosystems that integrate AI seamlessly into creative workflows, the media industry stands to unlock unprecedented levels of innovation and artistic expression.

Technical sophistication in the pipeline does not equate to creativity in isolation. AI thrives under conditions of substantial training data, explicit constraints, and well-structured goals. Human oversight remains essential to imbue artistic endeavors with cultural context and subjective meaning [35]. For instance, an AI might generate an infinite array of visual styles, but curation and refinement are indispensable for aligning outputs with the project’s narrative direction and emotional tone. This curation often entails iterative fine-tuning, in which human feedback loops modify the underlying models or parameter settings. The result is a cohesive synergy where AI-driven outputs are not final products, but rather intelligent prompts that guide the artistic process.

An area where these synergies are particularly pronounced is interactive entertainment [36]. Video game developers employ AI to dynamically generate worlds, characters, and story arcs, adapting them in real time based on player interactions. Such capabilities are supported by reinforcement learning (RL) algorithms, in which virtual agents learn optimal actions through simulated experiences. The emergent environments and narratives provide players with personalized experiences, increasing replay value while shifting part of the creative burden onto

**Table 4.** Ethical Considerations in AI-Augmented Media Production

Ethical Issue	Implications and Considerations
Authorship and Intellectual Property	Determining creative ownership of AI-generated content, legal frameworks for attribution
Algorithmic Bias	Ensuring AI models do not reinforce cultural or representational biases present in training data
Deepfake Manipulation	Preventing misinformation and ensuring authenticity in AI-generated audiovisual content
Transparency and Control	Developing explainable AI (XAI) systems to maintain human interpretability in creative decision-making

computational systems [37]. At the same time, careful attention is required to prevent generative content from lapsing into repetitive or nonsensical states, a risk that underscores the ongoing need for human curation and domain expertise.

Post-production tasks such as visual effects (VFX) and audio mastering also capitalize on AI’s pattern recognition capabilities. Neural networks trained to restore damaged frames or synthesize high-resolution textures can expedite labor-intensive processes. Furthermore, natural language processing (NLP) techniques assist in creating marketing materials by summarizing storylines or generating descriptive text for promotional campaigns [38]. In an era where audience reach can be global, such automated text generation can expedite localization and adaptation for different cultural contexts. Nevertheless, the quality and accuracy of AI-generated text hinge on training data relevance and model robustness, making oversight a critical requirement to avoid cultural misrepresentations or misleading translations.

Adoption of AI-driven workflows in media production is not solely a matter of technical prowess; organizational structure and culture also play critical roles. Collaborative environments that include data scientists, artists, editors, and producers foster multidisciplinary dialogues, which are vital for aligning AI capabilities with creative aims [39]. Regular iteration cycles and agile methodologies support continuous updates to AI models, ensuring they reflect ongoing changes in artistic direction. Yet, many traditional studios grapple with the complexities of large-scale data management, algorithmic interpretability, and workforce retraining. These challenges highlight the need for systematic change management, including the development of specialized training programs and the establishment of best practices that bridge artistic domains and computational disciplines.

In summary, AI’s role in media production spans concept generation, iterative development, and post-production enhancements, culminating in richer, more efficient, and data-informed creative workflows [40]. Rather than displacing human originality, AI serves as a potent auxiliary, automating routine tasks and suggesting new aesthetic directions. The integration of AI across the production pipeline fosters a symbiotic environment where machine-driven insights amplify human creativity, resulting in content that is both deeply imaginative and grounded in cutting-edge technology.

### 3 Community-Driven Platforms and Collaborative Paradigms

Beyond structured studio environments, community-driven platforms have emerged as pivotal spaces for collective creativity, enabling a diversity of voices to shape content in real time. From online music collaboration forums to open-source repositories of generative art algorithms, these participatory ecosystems lower barriers to entry and democratize access to advanced tools [41], [42]. While traditional creative workflows may be centralized, hierarchical, or constrained by institutional gatekeepers, community-centric platforms champion inclusivity, modularity, and shared ownership.

At the heart of such platforms is a layered technological infrastructure that accommodates user contribution, content versioning, and iterative refinement. A prime example is the integration of AI-based frameworks into collaborative software like GitHub or specialized platforms for creative coding [43]. Contributors can access repositories of trained models, specialized scripts for style transfer, or modular software libraries that simplify the integration of AI into their projects. Such structures encourage a fluid exchange of ideas, with users leveraging and

repurposing each other’s work in a continuous cycle of improvement. In addition, real-time feedback mechanisms—ranging from user ratings to dynamic discussion boards—enable collaborative iteration at scale, accelerating the evolution of content and methodologies alike.

However, the introduction of AI into open communities introduces unique complexities surrounding version control, model management, and accountability [44]. Models that are fine-tuned by a diverse group of users can evolve in unpredictable ways, requiring robust tracking systems to document changes in parameters, training data, and usage contexts. The decentralized nature of these platforms can also complicate governance, particularly as it relates to bias detection and content moderation. While some communities implement formal review processes or adopt ethical guidelines to mitigate abusive or exploitative usage of AI, others rely on loosely coordinated efforts that may overlook critical issues. This tension underscores an ongoing need for standardized practices and transparent frameworks that effectively balance creative freedom with responsible innovation. [45]

An essential catalyst in the proliferation of community-driven AI collaborations is the abundance of publicly available datasets and pretrained models. Platforms hosting large corpora of text, images, music, or 3D models empower individuals to experiment with advanced techniques—such as latent space exploration and multi-modal generation—without the prohibitive costs of data collection and labeling. Crowd-sourced annotation initiatives further enrich these datasets, ensuring diversity in training material and broadening the range of possible outputs. Nonetheless, the open availability of massive datasets raises legal and ethical questions, including data privacy, consent, and the potential for reinforcing societal biases [46]. Collective governance models that incorporate input from domain experts, ethicists, and community members alike can mitigate these concerns by establishing clearly defined protocols and usage policies.

Collaboration goes beyond the code and data layers to include social and cultural dynamics. The presence of robust online communities that critique, refine, and remix AI-generated outputs fosters an environment of continuous learning. This communal feedback loop produces emergent norms around content quality, originality, and cultural sensitivity [47]. In the realm

of digital art, for instance, an AI-generated piece might go through multiple layers of “forking,” with each iteration reflecting new aesthetic directions informed by user comments. Such fluidity can lead to rich outcomes that blend artistic movements, cultural themes, and personal styles. Yet, it also complicates notions of authorship, ownership, and copyright [48]. Licensing models like Creative Commons help navigate these waters, but legal infrastructures often lag behind the rapidly evolving mechanics of collaborative AI.

In many instances, the role of the platform operator becomes that of a curator and facilitator, providing guidelines, user education resources, and specialized features that support large-scale collaboration. Sophisticated recommendation algorithms can direct users to relevant projects, potential collaborators, or community challenges, thereby leveraging AI not only in content generation but also in social interaction. These same algorithms, however, carry the risk of creating echo chambers or inadvertently promoting certain stylistic trends at the expense of diversity [49]. Mitigating algorithmic bias in recommendation systems thus becomes another facet of responsible platform design.

Moreover, community-driven AI collaboration is not confined to purely virtual spaces. Hackathons, workshops, and maker events serve as physical or hybrid venues where creators can converge, test prototypes, and refine ideas. The synergy of face-to-face interaction with real-time AI tools fosters an atmosphere of rapid ideation [50]. Participants might brainstorm narrative outlines, which are then translated into visual prototypes via generative models. This interactive approach leverages the strengths of both human creativity—spontaneity, intuition, and emotional resonance—and machine intelligence—speed, pattern recognition, and infinite capacity for iteration.

In a broader social context, community-driven AI platforms empower underrepresented groups by providing them with free or low-cost resources, thereby diversifying the landscape of creative industries. Grassroots collectives focused on social justice, educational uplift, or regional art forms can harness AI to spotlight issues or cultures often ignored in mainstream productions [51]. This shift can lead to the amplification of marginalized voices, ensuring that the evolution of AI in creative industries does not remain the exclusive domain of



large corporations or privileged artists. Yet, challenges related to language support, technological access, and knowledge dissemination remain. Addressing these barriers necessitates concerted efforts from platform developers, policymakers, and the broader AI research community.

Overall, community-driven collaboration represents a radical shift in how creative content is conceived, iterated upon, and distributed [52]. By blending social engagement with advanced AI tools, these platforms unleash collective ingenuity while posing new ethical and logistical challenges. The next wave of innovations in community-driven AI likely hinges on improved model interpretability, robust governance frameworks, and adaptive platform architectures that can elegantly scale to meet the evolving needs of creators worldwide.

#### 4 Technical Systems for Integrating AI in Creative Workflows

The infusion of AI into creative workflows hinges on an intricate interplay of data engineering, algorithmic innovation, and user-centered design [53]. While high-level conceptualization and community collaboration provide overarching structures, the foundational mechanisms rest on robust technical infrastructures that span computational frameworks, storage solutions, model architectures, and system interoperability.

At the core of AI-driven creative processes lie large-scale datasets—text, imagery, audio, video, or multimodal combinations—curated from both public and proprietary sources. Data preprocessing and augmentation pipelines ensure that input is representative, consistent, and optimized for machine learning tasks. Techniques such as normalization, denoising, feature extraction, and structured labeling elevate data quality [54]. The computational intensity of training high-capacity neural networks further necessitates specialized hardware accelerators, such as Graphics Processing Units (GPUs) or Tensor Processing Units (TPUs). Beyond raw computational power, distributed computing frameworks enable parallel processing, essential for scaling model training to billions of parameters while mitigating latency in collaborative settings.

Central to the performance of creative AI systems are advanced neural architectures. Generative models—GANs, VAEs, and transformers—are frequently deployed for content creation tasks, ranging from image synthesis to music composition

[55]. Each architecture has distinct advantages: GANs excel at producing photorealistic images, VAEs facilitate latent space exploration useful for stylization, and transformers demonstrate remarkable capabilities in text and multimodal generation. Hybrid architectures incorporating convolutional, recurrent, and attention-based layers can cater to domain-specific requirements, such as synchronizing visuals with audio or aligning textual descriptions with three-dimensional scenes. Auto-regressive models like GPT variants, armed with billions of parameters, provide sophisticated language generation, enabling creators to script dialogues, compose lyrics, or draft thematic outlines automatically.

Efficient transfer learning paradigms further streamline the integration of AI into creative workflows [56]. Pretrained models on massive generic datasets, such as ImageNet for vision or large text corpora for NLP, can be adapted to niche creative tasks with relatively small domain-specific datasets. Fine-tuning, leveraging few-shot or zero-shot learning strategies, allows developers and artists to harness pretrained knowledge without incurring the overhead of training from scratch. Continual learning techniques then enable these models to evolve over time, absorbing new trends in aesthetics, linguistics, or cultural themes as they emerge.

Equally vital is the concept of model interpretability and explainability [57]. Creative industries often operate under tight deadlines and varied stakeholder expectations. Transparent AI systems can expedite decision-making by revealing how outputs were generated and which factors influenced them. Explainable AI (XAI) approaches—ranging from saliency maps in visual tasks to attention-weight visualizations in language models—provide insights that can guide artists or producers in refining inputs or adjusting project direction [58], [59]. In collaborative platforms, interpretability also fosters trust, ensuring that contributors can critique and enhance models with a clear understanding of their inner workings.

An integral aspect of AI system design for creative applications is the user interface (UI) and user experience (UX). Complex generative algorithms must be encapsulated within intuitive toolkits that reduce friction for non-technical users. Graphical user interfaces that present sliders for hyperparameter tuning, visual previews of potential outputs, and real-time editing capabilities can bridge the gap between conceptual ideation

and algorithmic specification [60]. Interactive notebooks, domain-specific integrated development environments (IDEs), and plug-ins for professional software suites such as Adobe Creative Cloud facilitate seamless workflow integration. In addition, robust application programming interfaces (APIs) or software development kits (SDKs) can embed AI functionalities into existing pipelines, ensuring that creative professionals can leverage machine intelligence without overhauling their entire software ecosystem.

System interoperability extends beyond interface design to include data exchange formats, communication protocols, and cross-platform compatibility. Given the diversity of domains in creative industries—film, music, gaming, virtual reality—mechanisms that facilitate smooth transitions of data and models across tools and platforms are crucial [61]. Standards like USD (Universal Scene Description) in 3D graphics or MIDI in music composition can be complemented by emerging AI-centric formats that store model parameters and architecture metadata. Containerization technologies and microservices-based designs further modularize AI components, allowing them to scale independently and be reused in different creative contexts.

Despite technological sophistication, AI integration in creative workflows raises a series of challenges tied to reliability, latency, and storage management. Large generative models, particularly those with billions of parameters, demand significant memory and computational resources [62]. Real-time collaboration scenarios exacerbate these requirements, as multiple users may interact with the same model or dataset simultaneously. Edge computing solutions, cloud-based platforms, or hybrid infrastructures are employed to balance performance with accessibility. Caching strategies and partial model updates can reduce time lag, enabling responsive systems that support dynamic creative processes. However, orchestrating these distributed resources requires advanced scheduling algorithms and monitoring tools capable of predicting and managing bottlenecks. [63]

Security and data integrity constitute another layer of complexity. Models trained on proprietary scripts, film footage, or unreleased music tracks may hold sensitive intellectual property that demands strong encryption and access control. Version control systems customized for machine learning workflows can track changes in model weights, training data,

and user contributions, ensuring accountability [64]. Cybersecurity measures, including anomaly detection, secure enclaves, and robust authentication protocols, protect against model tampering or data breaches that could compromise creative outputs.

As creative AI systems become more entrenched, questions of maintenance and lifecycle management arise. Software updates, dependency management, and the inevitable model drift due to evolving cultural and aesthetic trends require continuous oversight. Automated model retraining pipelines that monitor performance metrics and usage patterns can trigger fine-tuning or expansions of the training dataset [65]. While such automation sustains relevance and accuracy, it also introduces new risks if not accompanied by rigorous validation protocols. Model overfitting, concept drift, or emergent biases can degrade system performance or propagate undesirable stereotypes, reinforcing the need for regular audits and community-driven checkpoints.

In summary, the technical underpinnings of AI in creative workflows are multifaceted, demanding expertise in data engineering, computational frameworks, model architectures, and user-centric design. The complexity is heightened by the real-time and collaborative nature of modern creative processes, as well as the need for security, interpretability, and ethical compliance [66]. Nonetheless, the potential payoff is immense: robust systems that empower artists, producers, and entire communities to collaborate on innovative content at an unprecedented scale and pace.

## 5 Ethical and Social Dimensions of Creative AI

While AI-driven transformations in creative industries promise accelerated innovation and democratized participation, they also provoke complex ethical and social considerations. These include the potential erosion of human agency, biases embedded within AI-generated content, the precarious nature of intellectual property, and broader concerns about the cultural and societal impact of algorithmic creativity. Addressing these issues necessitates a multidisciplinary approach, with stakeholders from technology, law, philosophy, and the arts collaborating to shape standards and regulatory frameworks. [67]

One salient concern is the question of authorship and ownership in collaborative projects. When AI systems contribute to scriptwriting, character design, or musical composition, the lines of intellectual

property become blurred. Should co-authorship be attributed to the algorithm’s developers, the AI model itself, or the individuals who curated the dataset and fine-tuned its parameters? Existing legal frameworks were not designed to handle such scenarios, resulting in a patchwork of interpretations across jurisdictions. Some solutions advocate for treating AI outputs as public domain works, while others propose shared ownership models or licensing agreements that explicitly delineate user and developer rights [68]. However, no universally accepted standard exists, and the divergence of approaches risks creating market fragmentation and legal ambiguity.

Ethical challenges also manifest in the form of biases. Training data, which often reflects historical or cultural inequities, can lead AI models to produce biased or stereotypical content [69]. In creative domains, where expression heavily relies on narrative representations of people, societies, and emotions, biases can perpetuate harmful stereotypes. Moreover, the international scope of media production complicates the notion of cultural sensitivity. Outputs that appear benign in one cultural context may be considered offensive in another. Techniques for de-biasing or rebalancing training data must be complemented by ongoing human oversight, particularly when dealing with race, gender, religion, or other sensitive attributes [70]. The complexities of global audiences demand frameworks that allow dynamic adaptation and localized content generation while maintaining shared ethical standards.

Human agency is another sphere of concern. Although AI can augment the creative process, it can also overshadow or subtly erode human decision-making. The risk is that creative professionals become excessively reliant on algorithmic suggestions, narrowing the diversity of outputs [71], [72]. Over-automation, coupled with user interfaces that obscure the AI’s internal logic, can discourage critical engagement and hamper user autonomy. Consequently, the choice to override or ignore AI recommendations might diminish over time as these systems become more embedded, imposing a homogenizing influence on creative expression. Mitigating this trend requires cultivating a culture of “human-in-the-loop” design, where AI-generated options are clearly presented, scrutinized, and validated by human collaborators.

On a societal level, AI-driven creative workflows can exacerbate economic inequalities, especially if access

to advanced infrastructure and specialized knowledge is unevenly distributed [73]. While community-driven platforms aim to democratize resources, disparities in digital literacy and computational power remain obstacles. In regions lacking robust internet connectivity or educational opportunities, creators may be marginalized from emerging AI-driven markets. This digital divide has downstream effects on cultural representation, limiting the breadth and authenticity of stories, art forms, and perspectives that gain global traction. Policymakers, NGOs, and private entities must coordinate to provide inclusive technical education and infrastructure, ensuring that AI-enhanced creativity does not become the exclusive domain of affluent or technologically advantaged communities. [74]

Job displacement is yet another social dimension that has garnered attention. Although many roles in creative industries benefit from AI augmentation, automation could reduce the demand for certain technical skills—such as basic editing or compositing. Paradoxically, the creative sector is traditionally labor-intensive and artisanal, but the introduction of machine automation prompts shifts toward higher-level conceptual and strategic tasks [75]. This realignment offers opportunities for re-skilling and expanding creative roles, but it also raises concerns about a potential decrease in entry-level jobs, which often serve as stepping stones to more advanced positions. Managing these transitions calls for training initiatives, grants for innovative projects, and incentives for companies to maintain human-centric workflows.

A more nuanced issue is the cultural homogenization risk. Advanced AI models, frequently trained on predominantly Western data sources, may inadvertently standardize aesthetic values [76]. Global media consumption can then become skewed toward stylistic norms recognized and reproduced by these models, thereby marginalizing local or indigenous art forms. Efforts to compile diverse datasets and incorporate region-specific training content can counteract this effect, but they depend on cooperation across multiple actors, from local communities to multinational tech firms. Moreover, sensitivity to cultural context in generative models must go beyond superficial tokenization, necessitating deeper anthropological and sociolinguistic input to preserve the authenticity of distinct creative heritages.

Ethical codes of conduct, self-regulatory guidelines,

and government interventions play crucial roles in upholding responsible innovation in creative AI [77]. Some industry consortia and academic bodies have begun formulating ethical frameworks tailored to generative models, covering issues such as consent for data usage, transparency in labeling AI-generated content, and best practices for inclusive design. However, consistent enforcement and universal adoption remain significant challenges. Additionally, achieving consensus on norms that satisfy varied cultural, religious, and political contexts is an ongoing struggle. In practice, ethical compliance often relies on voluntary adherence by platform operators, developers, and end-users, underscoring the importance of educational outreach and community engagement. [78]

Finally, the emotional and psychological aspects of AI-generated creative works warrant attention. Audiences may respond to AI-composed music or AI-scripted narratives differently than they do to purely human works, prompting discussions about authenticity and emotional resonance. If AI routinely simulates the styles of famous creators, it might blur the sense of personal connection people feel with art. Conversely, the novelty of AI outputs can spark excitement and new forms of fandom, adding another layer to fan-creator interactions [79]. Understanding these shifts in audience perception requires empirical research involving sociology, psychology, and cultural studies, thereby broadening the disciplinary scope of AI ethics in creative industries.

In conclusion, ethical and social dimensions are inseparable from the technical progress that fuels AI-driven creative workflows. From intellectual property to cultural bias, from human agency to economic equity, these interconnected issues call for coordinated efforts that transcend traditional industry silos [80]. By acknowledging and addressing these concerns proactively, stakeholders can craft AI-powered creative ecosystems that are both ethically grounded and poised to unlock unprecedented forms of expression.

## 6 Conclusion

Human-AI collaboration within the creative industries stands at a watershed moment, with implications that reverberate across technology, culture, and commerce. What began as automated assistance for rote tasks has evolved into an expansive ecosystem where generative models, data engineering, community-driven platforms, and advanced user interfaces coalesce,

reshaping the processes and outcomes of content creation. From collaborative storyboarding to immersive virtual environments, AI proves itself a multifaceted partner that can amplify artistic innovation, enhance production efficiency, and democratize access to creative resources.

Yet, the emerging landscape is not without complexities. The transformations spurred by AI demand that stakeholders navigate a labyrinth of ethical, social, and legal challenges. The ambiguity of authorship, persistent risks of biased outputs, and the delicate balance between automation and human agency underscore the need for a holistic framework. Institutions—ranging from governmental bodies to academic consortia—play a crucial role in defining regulations and best practices. Equally, platform developers, producers, and individual creators must embrace an ethos of responsible innovation, blending technological progress with robust ethical stewardship.

Critically, the dynamics of community-driven collaboration showcase the potential for collective ingenuity to harness the computational power of AI without sacrificing creativity's inherently human dimensions. By structuring workflows and platforms that encourage openness, iteration, and shared ownership, the industry can capture the full value of AI-enhanced experiences while mitigating risks of homogenization and exclusion. The continuous evolution of AI architectures, improved interpretability tools, and refined governance protocols portends a future in which diverse voices have the freedom and resources to shape tomorrow's cultural artifacts.

In summation, the convergence of human creativity and artificial intelligence in media production and community-driven platforms constitutes a transformative development with far-reaching ramifications. As technical capabilities mature and collaborative ecosystems scale, the next phase of this journey will likely be defined by how effectively stakeholders can integrate ethical principles, inclusivity, and cultural nuance into AI-driven workflows. This integration offers the prospect of vibrant creative expression that transcends the boundaries of traditional production models, forging new forms of content that resonate with audiences worldwide. A balanced approach—celebrating innovation while remaining vigilant about equity and responsibility—will ensure that human-AI collaboration retains its promise as a catalyst for both

artistic brilliance and societal enrichment.

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

### Acknowledgement

This work was supported without any funding.

### References

- [1] B. Bigio, D. Zelli, T. Lau, *et al.*, "Acnp 57th annual meeting: Poster session i," *Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 43, no. S1, pp. 77–227, Dec. 6, 2018. doi: [10.1038/s41386-018-0266-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-018-0266-7).
- [2] G.-P. Ji, D.-P. Fan, K. Fu, Z. Wu, J. Shen, and L. Shao, "Full-duplex strategy for video object segmentation," *Computational Visual Media*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 155–175, Oct. 18, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s41095-021-0262-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41095-021-0262-4).
- [3] F. Grassi, L. Gambari, G. Lisignoli, B. Grigolo, and S. Rapposelli, "Synthesis and validation of dm-22, a novel h2s-releasing amino-bisphosphonate which stimulates osteoblast differentiation," *Osteoporosis international : a journal established as result of cooperation between the European Foundation for Osteoporosis and the National Osteoporosis Foundation of the USA*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 361–361, Jul. 27, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s00198-018-4465-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-018-4465-1).
- [4] R. Parks, P. Howard, R. Zahit, *et al.*, "A multi-centre study using comprehensive geriatric assessment to determine differences between older women with operable breast cancer undergoing surgery or non-operative treatment," *Breast cancer research and treatment*, vol. 180, no. 2, pp. 531–532, Feb. 6, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s10549-019-05514-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-019-05514-3).
- [5] E. A. Nicolás, B. Naike, G. Dumas, *et al.*, "Proceedings of réanimation 2018, the french intensive care society international congress.," *Annals of intensive care*, vol. 8, no. Suppl 1, pp. 13–, Feb. 5, 2018. doi: [10.1186/s13613-017-0345-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-017-0345-7).
- [6] T. R. Rao, P. Mitra, R. Bhatt, and A. Goswami, "The big data system, components, tools, and technologies: A survey," *Knowledge and Information Systems*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 1165–1245, Sep. 18, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s10115-018-1248-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-018-1248-0).
- [7] "Abstracts from 38th congress of the société internationale d'urologie seoul dragon city, october 4-7, 2018.," *World journal of urology*, vol. 36, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–380, Oct. 4, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s00345-018-2492-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00345-018-2492-3).
- [8] F. Cramer and P. Jandrić, "Postdigital: A term that sucks but is useful," *Postdigital Science and Education*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 966–989, Mar. 31, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s42438-021-00225-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-021-00225-9).
- [9] "Abstracts of the wasad congress 2019: An international congress of the world association for stress related and anxiety disorders in collaboration with the collaborative research center sfb-trr 58, fear, anxiety, anxiety disorders, held on 3-5 october 2019 in würzburg, germany.," *Journal of neural transmission (Vienna, Austria : 1996)*, vol. 126, no. 11, pp. 1519–1576, Oct. 22, 2019. doi: [10.1007/s00702-019-02095-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-019-02095-9).
- [10] M. Golčić, I. Tomaš, A. Stevanović, *et al.*, "Pain correlates with nausea, vomiting, constipation, anxiety and depression on admission to palliative care, and may be a factor predicting the need for extra resources," *Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1–2, May 18, 2019. doi: [10.1007/s00520-018-4345-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-018-4345-4); [10.1007/s00520-019-04813-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00520-019-04813-1).
- [11] "2019 cis annual meeting: Immune deficiency & dysregulation north american conference.," *Journal of clinical immunology*, vol. 39, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–151, Feb. 26, 2019. doi: [10.1007/s10875-019-00597-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-019-00597-5).
- [12] M. Blanchin, B. Perrot, and V. Sebillé, "29th annual conference of the international society for quality of life research.," *Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation*, vol. 31, no. Suppl 2, pp. 9–169, Nov. 25, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s11136-022-03257-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-022-03257-1).
- [13] A. Bartoli, J. Soleman, J. H. Wisoff, *et al.*, "Hydrocephalus following foramen magnum decompression for chiari i malformation: Multinational experience of a rare phenomenon," *Child's nervous system : ChNS : official journal of the International Society for Pediatric Neurosurgery*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 2014–2015, Aug. 25, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s00381-018-3912-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-018-3912-9).
- [14] "Epsm 2019, engineering and physical sciences in medicine," *Physical and Engineering Sciences in Medicine*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 297–462, Jan. 2, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s13246-019-00826-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13246-019-00826-6).
- [15] N. Chhaya, D. Agarwal, N. Puri, P. Jain, D. Pai, and P. Kumaraguru, "Entwine: Feature analysis and candidate selection for social user identity aggregation," in *Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE/ACM International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining 2015*, 2015, pp. 1575–1576.
- [16] T. Hagedorff, "Forbidden knowledge in machine learning reflections on the limits of research and publication," *AI & SOCIETY*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 767–781, Aug. 26, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s00146-020-01045-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01045-4).
- [17] C. Borrelli, P. Bestagini, F. Antonacci, A. Sarti, and S. Tubaro, "Synthetic speech detection through short-term and long-term prediction traces," *EURASIP Journal on Information Security*, vol. 2021, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Apr. 6, 2021. doi: [10.1186/s13635-021-00116-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13635-021-00116-3).
- [18] D. M. J. Wood, "What the digital world leaves behind: Reiterated analogue traces in mexican media art," *AI*

- & SOCIETY, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 2427–2436, Jun. 23, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s00146-021-01177-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01177-1).
- [19] “Xxist symposium neuroradiologicum.,” *Neuroradiology*, vol. 60, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–406, Mar. 8, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s00234-018-1983-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00234-018-1983-7).
- [20] Y. Wang, M. de Carvalho, T. Pierre, *et al.*, “The 46th annual meeting of the european society for blood and marrow transplantation: Physicians poster session (p001-p706).,” *Bone marrow transplantation*, vol. 55, no. Suppl 1, pp. 181–714, Dec. 11, 2020. doi: [10.1038/s41409-020-01120-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41409-020-01120-w).
- [21] “58th easd annual meeting of the european association for the study of diabetes : Stockholm, sweden, 19 - 23 september 2022.,” *Diabetologia*, vol. 65, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–469, Aug. 3, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s00125-022-05755-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-022-05755-w).
- [22] M. Plantinga, I. M. van Langen, A. V. Ranchor, P. Lanting, B. Wilffert, and R. H. Sijmons, “Personalized medicine via pharmacogenetics: Investigating critical success factors for clinical implementation,” *European Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 26, no. S1, pp. 113–819, Oct. 1, 2018. doi: [10.1038/s41431-018-0247-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41431-018-0247-7).
- [23] F. Juefei-Xu, R. Wang, Y. Huang, Q. Guo, L. Ma, and Y. Liu, “Countering malicious deepfakes: Survey, battleground, and horizon.,” *International journal of computer vision*, vol. 130, no. 7, pp. 1678–1734, May 4, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s11263-022-01606-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-022-01606-8).
- [24] C. Normann, S. Vestring, K. Domschke, J. Bischofberger, and T. Serchov, “Acnp 61st annual meeting: Poster abstracts p271-p540.,” *Neuropsychopharmacology : official publication of the American College of Neuropsychopharmacology*, vol. 47, no. Suppl 1, pp. 220–370, Dec. 1, 2022. doi: [10.1038/s41386-022-01485-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-022-01485-0).
- [25] F. Fallucchi, R. D. Stabile, E. Purificato, R. Giuliano, and E. W. D. Luca, “Enriching videos with automatic place recognition in google maps,” *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 81, no. 16, pp. 23 105–23 121, Jul. 29, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s11042-021-11253-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-021-11253-9).
- [26] B. Meskó and M. Görög, “A short guide for medical professionals in the era of artificial intelligence.,” *NPJ digital medicine*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 1–8, Sep. 24, 2020. doi: [10.1038/s41746-020-00333-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-00333-z).
- [27] “World congress on osteoporosis, osteoarthritis and musculoskeletal diseases (wco-iof-esceo 2022).,” *Aging clinical and experimental research*, vol. 34, no. Suppl 1, pp. 35–474, Aug. 26, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s40520-022-02147-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-022-02147-3).
- [28] H. Jin, Y. Cao, T. Wang, X. Xing, and X. Wan, “Recent advances of neural text generation: Core tasks, datasets, models and challenges,” *Science China Technological Sciences*, vol. 63, no. 10, pp. 1990–2010, Sep. 15, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s11431-020-1622-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11431-020-1622-y).
- [29] G. A. Taarnhøj, C. Johansen, H. Lindberg, A. Carus, R. H. Dahlrot, and H. Pappot, “Active use of patient-reported outcomes during chemo-or immunotherapy for bladder cancer-preliminary data from a national randomized trial,” *Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation*, vol. 29, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–196, Oct. 17, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s11136-020-02626-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-020-02626-y).
- [30] M. Anshari, M. Hamdan, N. Ahmad, E. Ali, and H. Haidi, “Covid-19, artificial intelligence, ethical challenges and policy implications.,” *AI & society*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 707–720, May 19, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s00146-022-01471-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01471-6).
- [31] “Cirse 2019 abstracts.,” *Cardiovascular and interventional radiology*, vol. 42, no. Suppl 3, pp. 65–549, Aug. 5, 2019. doi: [10.1007/s00270-019-02282-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00270-019-02282-x).
- [32] S. A. McLaughlin, M. C. Lee, S. Feldman, *et al.*, “Pegloprastide-based ratiometric fluorescence imaging detects intraoperative positive margins in real-time,” *Annals of surgical oncology*, vol. 28, no. Suppl 2, pp. 163–400, May 4, 2021. doi: [10.1245/s10434-021-10068-0](https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-021-10068-0).
- [33] “Proceedings from the 10th annual conference on the science of dissemination and implementation,” *Implementation Science*, vol. 13, no. S4, pp. 1–67, Mar. 28, 2018. doi: [10.1186/s13012-018-0728-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13012-018-0728-7).
- [34] L. Liu, W. Zhang, and C. Han, “A survey for the application of blockchain technology in the media,” *Peer-to-Peer Networking and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 3143–3165, May 25, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s12083-021-01168-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12083-021-01168-5).
- [35] C. van Noort, “On the use of pride, hope and fear in china’s international artificial intelligence narratives on cgtn,” *AI & SOCIETY*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 295–307, Feb. 16, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s00146-022-01393-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01393-3).
- [36] “Abstractband dog 2018,” *Der Ophthalmologe : Zeitschrift der Deutschen Ophthalmologischen Gesellschaft*, vol. 115, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–194, Aug. 14, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s00347-018-0760-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00347-018-0760-7).
- [37] G. T. Gerotziapas, “Proceedings of reanimation 2021, the french intensive care society international congress.,” *Annals of intensive care*, vol. 11, no. Suppl 1, pp. 97–, Jun. 29, 2021. doi: [10.1186/s13613-021-00862-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-021-00862-0).
- [38] A. S. Parihar, D. Varshney, K. Pandya, and A. Aggarwal, “A comprehensive survey on video frame interpolation techniques,” *The Visual Computer*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 295–319, Jan. 4, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s00371-020-02016-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00371-020-02016-y).
- [39] E. Musi, L. Federico, and G. Riotta, “Human-computer interaction tools with gameful design for critical thinking the media ecosystem: A classification framework.,” *AI & society*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 1–1329, Nov. 1, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s00146-022-01583-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01583-z).
- [40] C. H. Wohleb, “Abstracts of the papers presented at the 101 st annual meeting of the potato association of america fargo, north dakota, usa july 23-27, 2017,” *American Journal of Potato Research*, vol. 95, no. 3, pp. 208–229, Mar. 26, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s12230-018-9650-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12230-018-9650-4).
- [41] E. Nichele, A. Lavorgna, and S. E. Middleton, “Identifying key challenges and needs in digital

- mental health moderation practices supporting users exhibiting risk behaviours to develop responsible ai tools: The case study of kooth.," *SN social sciences*, vol. 2, no. 10, pp. 217–, Sep. 29, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s43545-022-00532-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-022-00532-3).
- [42] S. S. Harsha, A. Revanur, D. Agarwal, and S. Agrawal, "Genvideo: One-shot target-image and shape aware video editing using t2i diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024, pp. 7559–7568.
- [43] Y. Glybchenko, "Coloring outside the lines? imaginary reconstitution of security in yemen through image transformations," *Digital War*, vol. 3, no. 1-3, pp. 113–127, Sep. 27, 2022. doi: [10.1057/s42984-022-00050-9](https://doi.org/10.1057/s42984-022-00050-9).
- [44] P. Fraternali, F. Milani, R. N. Torres, and N. Zangrando, "Black-box error diagnosis in deep neural networks for computer vision: A survey of tools," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 3041–3062, Dec. 10, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s00521-022-08100-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-022-08100-9).
- [45] S. Verschuren, J. Petr, H. J. M. M. Mutsaerts, *et al.*, "Asl perfusion mri in the follow-up of pediatric brain tumors," *Magma (New York, N.Y.)*, vol. 33, no. Suppl 1, pp. 69–233, Sep. 24, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s10334-020-00876-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10334-020-00876-y).
- [46] M. Pasquinelli and V. Joler, "The nooscope manifested: Ai as instrument of knowledge extractivism.," *AI & society*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1–18, Nov. 21, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s00146-020-01097-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01097-6).
- [47] A. D. Hudson, E. Finn, and R. Wylie, "What can science fiction tell us about the future of artificial intelligence policy?" *AI & SOCIETY*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 197–211, Sep. 20, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s00146-021-01273-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01273-2).
- [48] "Abstracts from the 29th international congress of the european association for endoscopic surgery (eaes), barcelona, spain, 24–27 november 2021," *Surgical Endoscopy*, vol. 36, no. S2, pp. 325–674, Jun. 15, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s00464-022-09337-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-022-09337-0).
- [49] "Sri 2022: Scientific abstracts.," *Reproductive sciences (Thousand Oaks, Calif.)*, vol. 29, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–335, Feb. 18, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s43032-022-00883-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43032-022-00883-5).
- [50] V. Devedzic, "Identity of ai," *Discover Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 1, Nov. 14, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s44163-022-00038-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-022-00038-0).
- [51] "21st brazilian diabetes society congress," *Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome*, vol. 10, no. S1, Apr. 12, 2018. doi: [10.1186/s13098-018-0315-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-018-0315-8).
- [52] R. Uliasz, "Seeing like an algorithm: Operative images and emergent subjects," *AI & SOCIETY*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1233–1241, Sep. 16, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s00146-020-01067-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01067-y).
- [53] "Society of surgical oncology 72nd annual cancer symposium.," *Annals of surgical oncology*, vol. 26, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–224, Feb. 13, 2019. doi: [10.1245/s10434-019-07174-5](https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-019-07174-5).
- [54] J. L. Gómez-Perales and A. García-Mendoza, "The false myth of "iodine allergy" also in nuclear medicine.," *European journal of nuclear medicine and molecular imaging*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 1–2, Sep. 28, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s00259-021-05356-6;10.1007/s00259-022-05924-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-021-05356-6;10.1007/s00259-022-05924-4).
- [55] M.-T. Ho, P. Mantello, H.-K. T. Nguyen, and Q.-H. Vuong, "Affective computing scholarship and the rise of china: A view from 25 years of bibliometric data," *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Nov. 18, 2021. doi: [10.1057/s41599-021-00959-8](https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-00959-8).
- [56] B. R. Eapen, F. Kaliyadan, and K. T. Ashique, "Dicoderma: A practical approach for metadata management of images in dermatology.," *Journal of digital imaging*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 1231–1237, Apr. 29, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s10278-022-00636-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10278-022-00636-5).
- [57] A. Kohli and A. Gupta, "Detecting deepfake, faceswap and face2face facial forgeries using frequency cnn," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 80, no. 12, pp. 18461–18478, Feb. 18, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s11042-020-10420-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-020-10420-8).
- [58] G. Ji, "Kuaishou short video social network from the perspective of urban-rural cultural linkage: A field study on the mobile internet practices of young monguor villagers in china's qinghai province," *International Journal of Anthropology and Ethnology*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Jul. 19, 2021. doi: [10.1186/s41257-021-00049-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41257-021-00049-2).
- [59] A. Revanur, D. D. Basu, S. Agrawal, D. Agarwal, and D. Pai, *Mask conditioned image transformation based on a text prompt*, US Patent App. 18/319,808, Nov. 2024.
- [60] J.-C. Mariátegui, "Cybernetics and systems art in latin america: The art and communication center (cayc) and its pioneering art and technology network," *AI & SOCIETY*, vol. 37, no. 3, pp. 1071–1084, Jan. 18, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s00146-021-01341-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-021-01341-7).
- [61] I. Garrahy, K. Macaulay, and J. D. Sengillo, "Chikungunya "fever" in an afebrile tropical traveler with intractable backpain," *Journal of general internal medicine*, vol. 34, no. Suppl 2, pp. 99–867, May 14, 2019. doi: [10.1007/s11606-019-05007-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-019-05007-5).
- [62] "Insight 2022 abstract publishing and best abstract awards," *Familial Cancer*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 557–636, Oct. 19, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s10689-022-00312-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10689-022-00312-1).
- [63] V. F. Galvao, C. Maciel, R. Pereira, I. Gasparini, J. Viterbo, and A. C. B. Garcia, "Discussing human values in digital immortality: Towards a value-oriented perspective," *Journal of the Brazilian Computer Society*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 1–26, Nov. 26, 2021. doi: [10.1186/s13173-021-00121-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13173-021-00121-x).
- [64] G. Yildirim, "A novel hybrid multi-thread metaheuristic approach for fake news detection in social media.," *Applied intelligence (Dordrecht, Netherlands)*, vol. 53, no. 9, pp. 11182–11202, Sep. 2, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s10489-022-03972-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-022-03972-9).
- [65] X. Gao, J. Wang, S. Li, M. Zhang, and G. Zhou, "Cognition-driven multimodal personality classification," *Science China Information Sciences*,

- vol. 65, no. 10, Sep. 27, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s11432-020-3307-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-020-3307-3).
- [66] M. Seghers, M. B. K. Sammer, S. Jadhav, *et al.*, "Ipr 2021.," *Pediatric radiology*, vol. 51, no. Suppl 1, pp. 1–259, Sep. 20, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s00247-021-05192-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-021-05192-8).
- [67] S.-Y. Lo, Y.-Y. Lai, J.-C. Liu, and S.-L. Yeh, "Robots and sustainability: Robots as persuaders to promote recycling," *International Journal of Social Robotics*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 1261–1272, Mar. 10, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s12369-021-00828-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-021-00828-z).
- [68] Y. Li, Y. Liu, R. Yu, H. Zong, and W. Xie, "Dual attention based spatial-temporal inference network for volleyball group activity recognition," *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 82, no. 10, pp. 15 515–15 533, Oct. 7, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s11042-022-13867-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-022-13867-z).
- [69] "2018 international cancer education conference: Program and abstracts.," *Journal of cancer education : the official journal of the American Association for Cancer Education*, vol. 33, no. S1, pp. 1–48, Aug. 24, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s13187-018-1414-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13187-018-1414-9).
- [70] J. Kaur and W. Singh, "Tools, techniques, datasets and application areas for object detection in an image: A review.," *Multimedia tools and applications*, vol. 81, no. 27, pp. 38 297–38 351, Apr. 23, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s11042-022-13153-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-022-13153-y).
- [71] A. N. Muhammad, A. M. Aseere, H. Chiroma, H. Shah, A. Y. Gital, and I. A. T. Hashem, "Deep learning application in smart cities: Recent development, taxonomy, challenges and research prospects," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 33, no. 7, pp. 2973–3009, Jul. 15, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s00521-020-05151-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-020-05151-8).
- [72] N. Chhaya, D. Pai, D. Agarwal, N. Puri, P. Jain, and P. Kumaraguru, *Automatic aggregation of online user profiles*, US Patent 10,296,546, May 2019.
- [73] C.-L. Chou, "Presentation attack detection based on score level fusion and challenge-response technique," *The Journal of Supercomputing*, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 4681–4697, Oct. 22, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s11227-020-03461-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-020-03461-1).
- [74] M. Moniruzzaman, A. Rassau, D. Chai, and S. M. S. Islam, "High latency unmanned ground vehicle teleoperation enhancement by presentation of estimated future through video transformation," *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*, vol. 106, no. 2, Oct. 13, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s10846-022-01749-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10846-022-01749-3).
- [75] A. Lau, "New technologies used in covid-19 for business survival: Insights from the hotel sector in china," *Information Technology & Tourism*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 497–504, Nov. 23, 2020. doi: [10.1007/s40558-020-00193-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40558-020-00193-z).
- [76] L. Morelli, N. Furbetta, D. Gianardi, *et al.*, "The use of the new endowrist vessel sealer extend and the da vinci table motion for the da vinci xi in pancreato-duodenectomy," *Surgical endoscopy*, vol. 33, no. Suppl 2, pp. 595–595, Sep. 13, 2019. doi: [10.1007/s00464-019-07109-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-019-07109-x).
- [77] Z. Wang, H. Zhu, P. Liu, and L. Sun, "Social engineering in cybersecurity: A domain ontology and knowledge graph application examples," *Cybersecurity*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–21, Aug. 2, 2021. doi: [10.1186/s42400-021-00094-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42400-021-00094-6).
- [78] A. Nijholt, ""all the world's a stage": Incongruity humour revisited," *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 88, no. 5, pp. 405–438, Dec. 12, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s10472-018-9609-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10472-018-9609-7).
- [79] "2018 cis annual meeting: Immune deficiency & dysregulation north american conference.," *Journal of clinical immunology*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 330–444, Apr. 23, 2018. doi: [10.1007/s10875-018-0485-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10875-018-0485-z).
- [80] D. Lee, H.-H. Kim, and S.-H. Sung, "Development research on an ai english learning support system to facilitate learner-generated-context-based learning.," *Educational technology research and development : ETR & D*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 629–666, Dec. 12, 2022. doi: [10.1007/s11423-022-10172-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-022-10172-2).